the Amentaceae by several more Willows, a Poplar, and one or two more Birches ;no additional orders nor genera.

If we take in the Atlantic United States, east of the Mississippi, and compare this area with Europe, we should find the species and the types increasing as we proceed southward, but about the same numerical proportion would hold.

But, more interesting than this namerical preponderance—which is practically confined to the trees and shrubs-will be the extra-European types, which, intermixed with familiar old-world forms, give peculiar features to the North American flora,-features discernible in Canada, but more and more prominent as we proceed southward. Still confining our survey to the Atlantic district. that is, without crossing the Mississippi, the following are among the notable points:

1. Leguminous Trees of peculiar types. Europe abounds in leguminous shrubs or under-shrubs, mostly of the Genisteous tribe, which is wanting in all North America, but has no leguminous tree of more pretense than the Cercis and Laburnum. Our Atlantic forest is distinguished by a Cercis of its own, three species of-Locust, two of them fine trees, and two Honey Locusts, the beautiful Cladrastis, and the stately Symnocladus. Only the Corcis has any European relationship. For relatives of the others we must look to the Chino-Japanese

region.

2. The great development of the Ericaccie (taking the order in its widest sense), along with the absence of the Ericeous tribe, that is, of the Heaths themselves. We possess on this side of the Mississipi 30 genera and not far from 90 species. All Europe has only 17 genera and barely 50 species. We have most of the actual European species, excepting their Rhododendrons and their Heaths,—and even the latter are represented by some scattered patches of Calluna, of which it may be still doubtful whether they are chance introductions or sparse or scanty survivals; and, besides, we have a wealth of peculiar genera and species. Among them the most notable in an ornamental point of view are the Rhododendrons, Azaleas, Kalmias, Andromedas and Clethras; in botanical interest, the endemic Monotropeæ, of which there is only one species in Europe, but seven genera in North America, all but one absolutely peculiar; and in edible as well as botanieal interest, the unexampled development and diversification of the genus Vactype, Gaylussicia) will attract attention. It is interesting to note the rapid falling away of Ericaceae westward in the valley of the Mississipi as the forest thins only to some of peculiar orders. Among tis in Ranunculacene; Caulophyllum,

3. The wealth of this flora in Compositæ is a most obvious feature; one especially prominent at this season of the year, when the open grounds are becoming golden with Solidago, and the earlier of the autumnal Asters are beginning to blossom. The Composita form the largest order of Phænogamous plants in all temperate flows of the northern hemisphere, are well up to the average in Europe, but are nowhere so numerous as in North America, where they form an eighth part of the whole. But the contrast between the Compositie of Europe and Atlantic North America is striking. Europe runs to Thistles, to Inuloidea, to Authemidea, and to Cichoriaceae has very few Asters and only two Solidagoes, no Sunflowers and hardly anything of that tribe. Our Atlantic flora surpasses all the world in Asters and Solidagoes, as also in Sunflowers and their various allies, is rich in Eupatoriacecee, of which Europe has extremely few, and is well supplied with Vernoniacce and Helenoidere, of which she has none; but is scanty in all the groups that predominate in Europe. I may remark, that if our larger and most troublesome genera, such as Solidago and Aster, were treated in our systematic works even in the way, that Nyman has treated Hieracium in Europe, the species of these two genera (now numbering 78 and 124 respectively) would be at least doubled.

4. Perhaps the most interesting contrast between the flora of Europe and that of the eastern border of North America is in the number of generic and even ordinal types here met with which are wholly absent from Europe. Possibly we may distinguish these into two sets of differing history. One will represent a tropical element, more or less transformed, which has probably acquired or been able to hold its position so far north in virtue of our high summer temperature. (In this whole survey the peninsula of Florida is left out of view, regarding its botany as essentially Rahaman and Cuban, with a certain admixture of northern elements.) To the first type I refer such trees and shrubs as Asimina, sole representative of the Anonaccae out of the tropics, and reaching even to lat. 42°; Chrysobalanus, representing a tropical suborder; Pinckneya representing as far north as Georgia the Cinchoncous tribe; the Baccharis of our coast, reaching even to New England; Cyrilla and Cliftonia, the former actually West Ir an; Bumelia, representing the tropical order Sapotaceæ; Bignonia and Tecoma of the Bignoniacene; Forestiera in Oleace:e; Persea of the Laurinem; and finally the Cactacere. Among the her-baceous plants of this set, I will allude

them I reckon Sarracenia (of which the only extm-North American representative is tropical-American, the Melastomacea, represented by Rhexia; Passiflora (our species being herbaceous), a few representatives of Loasacere and Turneracere, also of Hydrophyllacere; our two genera of Burmanniaceae; three genera of Haemodoracere ; Tillandsia in Bromeliacere ; two genera of Pontederiacen; two of Commelynaceae; the outlying Mayaca and Xyris, and three genera of Eriocaulouacere. I do not forget that one of our species of Eriocaulon occurs on the west 'coast of Ireland and in Skye, wonderfully out of place, though on this side of the Atlantic it reaches Newfoundland. It may be a survival in the Old World; but it is more probably of chance introduction.

The other set of extra-European types, characteristic of the Atlantic North American flora, is very notable. According to a view which I have much, and for a long while, insisted on, it may be said to represent a certain portion of the once rather uniform flora of the arctic and less boreal zone, from the late Tertiary down to the incoming of the Glacial period, and which, brought down to our lower latitudes by the gradual refrigeration, has been preserved here in eastern North America, and in the corresponding parts of Asia, but was lost to Europe. I need not recapitulate the evidence upon which this now generally accepted doctrine was founded; and to enumerate the plants which testify in its favor would amount to an enumeration of the greater part of the genera or subordinate groups of plants which distinguish our Atlantic flora from that of Europe. The evidence, in brief, is that the plants in question, or their moderately differentiated representatives, still coexist in the flora of eastern North America and that of the Chino-Japanese region, the climates and conditions of which are very similar; and that the fossilized representatives of many of them have been brought to light in the late tertiary deposits of the arctic zone wherever explored. In mentioning some of the plan's of this category I include the Magnolias, although there are no nearly identical species, but there is a seemingly identical Liriodendron in China, and the Schizandras and Illiciums are divided between the two floras; and I put into the list Menispermum, of which the only other species is eastern Siberian, and is hardly distinguishable from ours. When you call to mind the series of wholly extra-European types which are identically or approximately represented in the eastern North American and in the eastern Asiatic temperate floras, such as Trautvetteria and Hydras-