

things which may be criticised. Such criticism being offered in the spirit of kindness. In the first place, although the subjects discussed were all very interesting, and were of a class of subjects not directly discussed in Collegiate work, yet we must never forget that this is a practical age and for that reason more practical subjects might have been chosen for discussion. Theoretical opinions are not the hard nuts the parish priests have to crack, but rather the stern realities of every day life,

It is to be hoped that in future Conferences more practical issues will be discussed.

Another point of criticism is the very small part taken in the discussions by the younger members of the Conference. Invariably the same clergymen took part in the debates, and what they said manifested clearly that their student days were not over, and, moreover, these Clergymen were not theorists, but the ones who are doing the most active parochial work in the Diocese. It was very refreshing and instructive to hear the learned thoughts of these Priests, but, where were the younger members of the Conference? Their silence was not a very good example to set the students of the College who happened to be present. The list of subjects should form a very good guide to a man's line of study during the season previous to the Conference.

To sum up the whole Conference—The papers were of a very high order—The debates were spirited but the attendance was not what it should have been. We hope that the new Committee will soon publish the programme in order that all may be prepared to take an active part in the next Conference. On both days of the Conference the Alumni were entertained at lunch by the College Authorities.

The Rev. Dr. and Mrs. Hackett were At Home to the members on Wednesday afternoon.