rt I.

husition.

stion nd to civil ts to

eding it for itness ques-

or by aswer t him t him

ry in VII.,

dence gible.

civil, 7 any operts inion called r per-

ion of leave.

riting all be id the ted to erwise

ed to r, but , such party party may contradict him by other evidence, or, by leave of the court, may prove that the witness made at other times a statement inconsistent with his present testimony; but before such last mentioned proof can be given the circumstances of the supposed statement, sufficient to designate the particular Previous occasion, shall be mentioned to the witness, and he shall be statements. asked whether or not he did make such statement. 55-56 V., c. 29, s. 699.

10. Upon any trial a witness may be cross-examined as to Crossprevious statements made by him in writing, or reduced to examinawriting, relative to the subject-matter of the case, without such previous writing being shown to him: Provided that, if it is intended in writing. to contradict the witness by the writing, his attention must, before such contradictory proof can be given, be called to those parts of the writing which are to be used for the purpose of so contradicting him; and that the judge, at any time during the trial, may require the production of the writing for his inspection, and thereupon make such use of it for the purposes of the trial as he thinks fit.

2. A deposition of the witness, purporting to have been taken Deposition before a justice on the investigation of a criminal charge and of witness in to be signed by the witness and the justice, returned to and vestigation. produced from the custody of the proper officer, shall be presumed prima facie to have been signed by the witness. 55-56 V., c. 29, s. 700.

11. If a witness upon cross-examination as to a former Crossstatement made by him relative to the subject-matter of the examination case and inconsistent with his present testimony, does not dis-vious oral tinctly admit that he did make such statement, proof may be statements. given that he did in fact make it; but before such proof can be given the circumstances of the supposed statement, sufficient to designate the particular occasion, shall be mentioned to the witness, and he shall be asked whether or not he did make such statement. 55-56 V., c. 29, s. 701.

12. A witness may be questioned as to whether he has been Examinaconvicted of any offence, and upon being so questioned, if he tion as to either denies the fact or refuses to answer, the opposite party conviction. may prove such conviction.

How con-

2. The conviction may be proved by producing,— (a) a certificate containing the substance and effect only, proved. omitting the formal part, of the indictment and conviction, if it is for an indictable offence, or a copy of the summary conviction, if for an offence punishable upon summary conviction, purporting to be signed by the clerk of the court or other officer having the custody of the records of the court in which the conviction, if upon indictment, was 2409 had.

R.S., 1906.