

Government Orders

end of their sentences be transferred to a secure mental health facility.

After conviction but prior to sentencing the prosecutor could request that the offender's eventual release be subject to a review under provincial health laws. After serving the sentence the inmate would then be brought back to court where it would be determined if he or she is rehabilitated to return to the community. If not the person would be made a ward of the health care system until it is safe to release that person.

We believe that these amendments shore up the balance between the rights of victims and the rights of the convicted.

In closing I would like to mention a case of a young woman 15 years of age who was being harassed by telephone calls at her place of employment where she worked every evening between the hours of 6 and 10. Someone was phoning her every night, phone call after phone call. This person was only 15 years of age and was absolutely terrified. She approached me and we had the police department set up a phone tap at her place of business. The police were able to trace the call. They went to the home. The person did not deny he was making the phone calls. He was told he was to cease and desist.

A couple of months passed and the phone calls started again. The same person, even though the police knew who he was and the police had told him that he had to cease and desist, repeated those harassment calls over a matter of a couple of months.

This bill will stop that kind of nonsense and relieve that young person of the fear she had. Her parents had to come and pick her up at night to take her home from work because she was afraid to walk home alone.

I am pleased with Bill C-126. Hopefully it will be followed up with educational programs to change the attitudes within society. The mindset that has allowed family violence including stalking to flourish for so long must be abolished from Canadian society. It is only at that time women will be able to live in a fair and safe and a just society.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): Is the House ready for the question?

Some hon. members: Question.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion.

Some hon. members: Agreed.

Motion agreed to, bill read the third time and passed.

* * *

LAND TITLES REPEAL ACT**MEASURE TO ENACT**

The House proceeded to the consideration of Bill C-103, an act to provide for the repeal of the Land Titles Act and to amend other acts in relation thereto, as reported (with amendment) from a legislative committee.

SPEAKER'S RULING

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): There are 10 motions in amendment standing on the Notice Paper for the report stage of Bill C-103, an act to provide for the repeal of the Land Titles Act and to amend other acts in relation thereto, all in the name of the hon. member for Nunatsiak.

[Translation]

Motions Nos. 1 to 10 will be grouped for debate, with the vote on motion No. 1 applying to the other motions.

[English]

I shall now proceed with the putting of Motions Nos. 1 through 10 to the House.

• (1620)

Is there consent to have another member move the motions for the hon. member for Nunatsiak? Is it agreed?

Some hon. members: Agreed.

An hon. member: No.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): I am sorry we cannot proceed.

Hon. Shirley Martin (for the Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development) moved that the bill be concurred in.