

Adjournment Debate

● (2220)

He should know as a lawyer that in fact no reasonable defence counsel would allow charges to be tried together. He should know that, if a conviction has been registered and you do not see other charges dealt with in sequence in the court proceedings, it is because they are often pleaded guilty to by counsel on behalf of the defence. These are the sort of procedures that one would expect to find, but about which the hon. member seems not to know or not to care. I do think it is rather unfortunate that he comes into this place and talks about emotionalism, about his great dignity and his record as a Crown prosecutor, when the record shows anything but that, and puts this kind of nonsense before us tonight.

Mr. Dick: Madam Speaker, I rise on a question of privilege.

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Morin): Order, please. There cannot be a question of privilege or a point of order at this time.

Mr. Dick: Then I give notice of my intention of bringing it up tomorrow.

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Morin): The motion to adjourn the House is now deemed to have been adopted. Accordingly, this House stands adjourned until tomorrow at 2 p.m.

Motion agreed to and the House adjourned at 10.24 p.m.