committee meeting and if all members present here are willing to sit on it, in agreement with the opposition parties, that the subcommittee be struck for the St. Lawrence Seaway? And I believe that later on there is a possibility of a northern committee. If we could decide that in the steering committee and then bring it back to the full transport committee—

The Chairman: We have to bring back anything to the full committee. The St. Lawrence Seaway is certainly one of the top matters on the agenda. There is also another matter on the agenda which is going to be debated in the steering committee that may be higher on the agenda, and that is to fulfil the mandate on the tour of the north.

I thought at one time, before changes of chairmanships and new chairmen, that a subcommittee might do that. Since the new committee is smaller, there is a thought, and again committee members can discuss it, that the full committee should go north because it might be considered an insult if it did not.

There are many other things. We have the task force report on transportation, which is a Pandora's Box of many interesting problems. Something that really intrigues me in the committee—I throw it out for consideration to those diligent enough to get here today—to start thinking about perhaps for the fall, depending on what else happens between now and the summer adjournment, and I think there will be some disposition for this, is a committee or subcommittee of this standing committee to do something very much in the public domain, and that is this whole question of airports and airport security. But I just throw that out.

I think in view of the time, Mr. Reid, the steering committee is going to probably debate this and report back to the full committee. At least you have constituted the committee, so a steering committee can meet. We will meet, hopefully, as chairman, to pick a date, not necessarily during the next 10 days, if we adjourn. If there are other things that intervene after the 10 days, I certainly can still see a steering committee meeting, as long as the House is still functioning.

Mr. Angus.

Mr. Angus: Mr. Chairman, I would like to agree with the suggestion that we leave it to the steering committee. I think we, as a committee in our old self, clearly indicated we want to have that review and I do not think there is any problem with that.

Is it your disposition to try and get a steering committee together sooner? You are not thinking of tomorrow, are you?

The Chairman: Certainly the morning is out of the way. I would be open, quite frankly. I can see a couple of members of the steering committee there. We could meet tomorrow afternoon, if that is the disposition.

I must say, depending on the uncertainty here, I would like to have a steering committee meeting as early as possible, and if we could get it into tomorrow, by consent, because it is all by consent—

Mr. Angus: Why do we not try that? Because then there may be some things—

The Chairman: We can try it.