

CONVEYANCING.

THE verbose technicalities of legal phraseology are well hit off in the following: "If a man would, according to law, give to another an orange, instead of saying "I give you that orange," which one would think would be what is called, in legal phraseology, "an absolute conveyance of all right and title therein," the phrase would run thus: "I give you all and singular my estate and interest, right, title, claim, and advantage of, and in, that orange, with its rind, skin, juice, pulp, and pips, and all right and advantage therein, with full power to bite, cut, suck and otherwise eat the same, or give the same away as fully and effectually as I, said A. B., am now entitled to bite, cut, suck or otherwise eat the same orange, or give the same away, with or without its rind, juice, pulp and pips, anything heretofore or hereafter, or in any other deed or deeds, instrument or instruments, of what nature or kind so ever, to the contrary notwithstanding."—*Ohio Law Journal*.

BEGUILING THE COURT.

WRITS have recently been issued in an action of a remarkable kind brought against the defendants in a previous action, two Queen's Counsel, three junior counsel, three firms of solicitors, and the Attorney-General. On payment of a hundred thousand pounds and one guinea costs it is in the usual form stated that all proceedings will be stayed. The action professes to be brought under 3 Edw. 1 c. 29 (the Statute of Westminster the First), whereby it is provided that 'if any serjeant, pleader, or other do in any manner of deceit or collusion in the King's Court, or consent unto it in deceit of the Court, or to beguile the Court or the party, and thereof be attainted, he shall be imprisoned for a year and a day, and from thenceforth shall not be heard to plead in that Court for any man, and if the trespass require greater punishment it shall be at the King's pleasure.' This Statute is still unrepealed, so that all concerned should beware how they attempt to 'beguile a Court.'—*Central Law Journal*.