

Another amendment which it was agreed to make to the Bill is to have those Universities that have Medical Faculties actually engaged in teaching represented on the proposed Dominion Council. This is another concession which we advocated in the QUARTERLY of January, 1900. We must confess that when we find both the Ontario Medical Council and Dr. Roddick coming round to our views on these two important points we have a feeling of what might be called pardonable pride. It is always pleasing to have one's views endorsed, and especially by those who were previously in opposition. Our success in these two particulars encourages us to persist in our advocacy of other changes which we have already pointed out should be made in the Bill. The regulation regarding examinations must be altered before Dr. Roddick can succeed in passing his Bill. At present partiality is shown to Montreal and Toronto, and injustice to Winnipeg, London, Kingston and Halifax. Now, in our opinion, a bill which gives favours to one or two Universities and at the same time is unjust to all others can not and will not be endorsed by Parliament. Even if the Bill should receive the sanction of Parliament with this objectionable feature retained, the Dominion Council would always have to contend against the Universities which the Bill discriminates against as well as the opposition of all the graduates of these Universities scattered throughout the length and breadth of the Dominion. Thus the success of the proposed Dominion Council would be in jeopardy and its continued existence a matter of doubt. In the January (1900) issue of the QUARTERLY we gave expression to our views as follows:—"All written examinations should be held simultaneously, and all practical and oral examinations in succession at those centres at which there are Medical Schools. The papers could be sent to those centres under seal, and a presiding examiner could be appointed at each place. For the practical and clinical examinations examiners would have to go to the various centres." In the *Canadian Practitioner and Review* for March, 1900, the editor, referring to the above proposal, says: "The proposal to hold the annual examination at Montreal and Toronto would be strongly opposed, and we think the plan proposed by our contemporary would be much more satisfactory." With this endorsement we are determined to persist in our advo-