

but *also try* the case, to the utter extinction of Magistrate Kingsford or other luminary who happens to be on the bench and who is paid to dispense justice. Is this the reason that a physician the other day described the afternoon Police Court as being nothing short of a "Bear Garden"? Is Magistrate Kingsford going to allow this man to run his court for him, or may physicians expect to have justice meted out to them by him? Is it true that police constables are assembled monthly to have read to them the list of convictions that each has secured and the number of times that each constable has appeared in the Police Court to give evidence, and that those securing the smaller number of convictions are told they must do better in the future, so that, inferentially, it is not unreasonable to suppose that those who are most successful in securing convictions will be most likely to be rewarded by promotion? Can the Chief of Police deny that any of his Inspectors have taken this position? We can hardly credit this. Again, why are summonses withheld more than twenty-four hours, after which it is impossible for the defendant to recollect exactly the circumstances of the case? The statute as to speed has not been in any way amended since first it became law—why, may we ask, this sudden determination to enforce the law against physicians?

Will the police authorities be good enough to tell us whether there is one case on record where a physician in Toronto, through careless driving of his motor car, has done any bodily harm to any other individual