

ature. Mr. Jonathan Hutchison contributed his original drawings from which the engravings of syphilitic and honeycombed teeth are taken. A very wise discrimination has been shown in the use of the wood cuts to be found in manufacturers' illustrated catalogues.

The chief value of Mr. Sewill's work is in the original research into dental pathology, assisted by microscopical and bacteriological experts. The phenomena of caries has been conscientiously investigated, and the result of Mr. Sewill's work must prevail over the loose and unscientific argument with which we were familiar. Mr. Sewill encourages the ordinary observer by the assurance that research into the nature of caries is by no means difficult. Mr. Sewill does justice to the labors of former and contemporary observers. We cannot too highly commend this valuable volume to our subscribers. The Canadian dentist who does not possess it must remain behind the times, so far specially as to the most reliable investigation into the phenomena of the principal disease with which we have to deal. Messrs. Balliere, Tindall & Co. deserve credit for the handsome style in which they have published the book.

University Quarterly Review. Occupied with subjects of current thought. Second quarter, 1890. Toronto. Single number, 50 cents; per annum, \$2.00. Communications to be addressed to P. O. Box 298, Toronto.

We have been so accustomed in Canada to a deluge of trashy, as well as of meritorious, efforts in literary home journalism, that we are loath to believe a really good one will succeed, and publishers who attempt such creditable enterprises as the above have, no doubt, to bear the burden of temporary disappointment. The *Canadian Monthly*, which did such valuable service to Canadian literature, sealed its doom by its alliance with a gentleman and a scholar, who, however much he was respected as such, failed to sympathize with the spirit of Canadian and British feeling prevalent in the country. No doubt, too, the rivalry of English and American periodicals had a good deal to do with its failure. The publishers of the *Review* have acted wisely in creeping with a quarterly before they try to run with a monthly, and the success so far