

You have well read. On July 27, 1886, you alleged to have bought for me, in London, 175 shares that brought me, on their face, a profit of $8\frac{1}{4}$ per share, that is to say, \$1,443.75, or, deducting commission and interest (about \$75.00), a net profit of \$1,368.25. That does not appear in your books. What kind of books do you keep ?

Go a little more deeply into your conscience, and try to remember that you held yourself in your hand the following short paragraph, clipped from the Montreal "Gazette", annexed to the above quoted document :

"It is believed that William Upward, the London stock-broker, who failed on Thursday, has committed suicide."

A few days later, it was quite solemnly admitted, upon the strenght of your informations, thoroughly confirmed, that he was really dead... and my Pacific stock also.

Let us now put the whole of this baggage on the scales, the same as is done to test the sterling at the mint, so as to ascertain the real value of your words and of your books.

THE WORD OF THE HON. MR. FORGET

WHEN YOU WERE NOT A SENATOR

July 27, 1885.

N. B. — 175 shares bought in London, Eng., at $38\frac{1}{4}$ and sold at 47, to be settled when statement of London broker is received.

Annex : It is believed that William Upward, the London stock-broker, who failed on Thursday, has committed suicide.

SINCE YOU ARE A SENATOR

September 23, 1902.

I may add that WE NEVER PURCHASED PACIFIC IN LONDON neither for MR. DANSEREAU, NOR FOR ANY ONE ELSE, through the medium of a broker who committed suicide without our being able to recover the money owed us by him.

THE BOOKS OF THE HON. MR. FORGET

DURING THE COURSE OF A TRANSACTION

July 27, 1885.

To purchase of 225 shares of Can. Pacific, in N. Y., at $37\frac{1}{2}$. . . \$8,465.63
By sale of 225 shares of Pacific, N. Y., at $30\frac{1}{2}$. . . 8,911.25
Less interest . . . 88.08

Profit . . . \$418.17

Profit in London . . . 1,308.25

Total of profits to C. A. Dansereau . . . \$1,787.42

AFTER A TRANSACTION IS MADE

At the time when Mr. Dansereau gave me the "pointer" referred to by him March 26, 1886, we purchased for him 400 Pacific shares at $66\frac{1}{2}$; on April 1, 200 more shares, of which 50 at $66\frac{1}{2}$ and 150 at 67. Those shares were sold as follows : on Sept. 16, 1886, 200 shares at $67\frac{1}{2}$; on Sept. 17, 200 more shares at $67\frac{1}{2}$, and on Sept. 21, 150 shares at $68\frac{1}{4}$; on Sept. 22, 50 shares at 68. Deducting from that transaction interest, commission and one hundred dollars (\$100.00) which we had loaned Mr. Dansereau on March 24, (two days before the first purchase), there remains to his debit a balance of \$3.56, which was carried to profit and loss account, on Dec. 31, of the same year. AS YOU SEE, MR. DANSEREAU IS MISTAKEN WHEN HE REFERS TO A PROFIT OF \$1,200 THAT HE MIGHT HAVE MADE.