uébec, mbre 1851.

l'Archevêque ndant que la ec se charge été légué par our McMahon tretien du nevotre pupille,

à la Corporativement réso-

erviteur, , Ptre. V. G." nephews and o

's estate, after th will probably no

it is considered nd induce then - 19 ---

in Mr. McMahon's house during the last illness, property to the value of at least £300 was allowed to be taken away by the servants and others, before the sale.

" If the Archbishop's non-acceptance had been signified"

but it was "signified," as the above letter shews.

" before arrangements had been made"

What "arrangements"? this is all priestly bunku:n.

" instead of obtaining funds necessary for their eduvous informer cation, the children, in consequence of the division of the poser aux dif-property amongst their relatives would not have received more than £40 each."

"Had "the Holy Corporation" not meddled bar conséquent with the matter, by getting the codicil of the 1st Elle se croit of October passed, "no division of the property parti que, par "amongst their relatives" could have been made. u, on l'a mise The codicil of the 4th of April last had amply prointentions bien vided "for their education," and "their relatives" could have had nothing to say to it.

" After this plain statement of facts"

Just fancy the modesty of some people!

" it is very easy to discover the spirit which has prompted the authors of the publication soliciting donaions in favor of Mr. Lecourt."

You might have induced persons who do not been benefitted know you to suppose that you were what you are n this matter, intot, had you been allowed to say what you pleased nere assertion without comment; but it is very certain that " the spirit which has prompted the authors of the publication" " in favor of Mr. Lecourt " is " a spirit " which has on its side, truth aud honesty, nd it would be lucky for you if you could shew a imilar " spirit."

Good bye, for the present, dear Bishop, and let ey saw going of ne advise you not to scribble any more on this sub-