

and clause 7, which makes a provision applying to Nova Scotia, New Brunswick and Prince Edward Island, British Columbia and the Northwest Territories, while the title of the Bill says that it is an Act respecting the Court of Appeal for Manitoba.

Hon. Mr. SCOTT—In the provinces named this class of cases are left to the Supreme Court to control, but in the province of Manitoba it is provided that when the Court of Appeal is brought into force by proclamation it will have control of controverted elections.

Hon. Mr. FERGUSON—I do not think that meets the point I have called attention to. If one were looking for something relating to courts in the lower provinces, one would not expect to find it under such a title as we have in this Bill.

Hon. Mr. LOUGHEED—My hon. friend will observe that what the Act purports to do is to amend another Act which does not concern the Court of Appeal for Manitoba at all. There should be a substantive Act to carry out the provisions of this amendment. It may possibly affect Manitoba but it has nothing to do with the constitution of the Court of Appeal.

Hon. Mr. POWER—There is not the difficulty that the hon. gentleman from Marshfield thinks. Chapter 14 of the statutes of 1894 is an Act dealing with the disfranchising of voters who have taken bribes. Section 20 of that Act deals with appeals from the decision of the court which disfranchises any voter, and it provides that the appeal shall be, in the provinces of Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island, British Columbia and in the Northwest Territories, to the Supreme Court en banc in the said provinces and territories respectively, and in Manitoba to the Court of Queen's Bench. The Bill now before us, instead of saying that paragraph (c) of section 20 of the Act which I have referred to shall be amended, re-enacts the whole of paragraph (c) with the desired amendment.

Hon. Mr. LOUGHEED—It would have been very much better had that Act been amended by a substantive Act instead of introducing the provision into an Act which has nothing to do with the subject.

58½

Hon. Mr. POWER—Yes, the appeal is to this Court of Appeal.

Hon. Mr. LOUGHEED—I quite appreciate that. May I ask my hon. friend, while I am on my feet, if the government have made their selections of judges for this court?

Hon. Mr. SCOTT—No.

Hon. Mr. LOUGHEED—That means they have not appointed them yet I suppose?

Hon. Mr. SCOTT—They have not thought of it yet.

Hon. Mr. LOUGHEED—If the government has not thought of who the judges are to be, the proposed judges have given very serious consideration to their own appointments.

The motion was agreed to and the Bill was read the second time.

On the motion that the Bill be considered in Committee of the Whole to-morrow—

Hon. Mr. LOUGHEED—Does my hon. friend recall whether the salaries mentioned in clause 7 are the same as those paid in the Court of Appeal in Ontario?

Hon. Mr. SCOTT—No, I think not.

Hon. Mr. LOUGHEED—They are certainly not in excess of the amounts mentioned in clause 7. However, we can look into that when we are in committee.

The motion was agreed to.

SECOND READING.

Bill (47) An Act respecting Forest Reserves.—(Hon. Mr. Scott).

FISCAL YEAR BILL.

SECOND AND THIRD READINGS.

Hon. Mr. SCOTT moved the second reading of Bill (162) An Act respecting the Fiscal Year.

The motion was agreed to, and the Bill was read the second time.

Hon. Mr. SCOTT moved the suspension of rules 17 and 41 as far as affects this Bill. He said: The Deputy Governor is to assent to Bills to-morrow, and perhaps it would be well to have this disposed of to-night.

Hon. Mr. POWER—I may say that the 5th clause of this Bill says: 'This Act shall