

As a result of cutbacks or cancellations of purchases by the 41 companies responding to our survey, \$1,470,000 in revenues was lost by eastern suppliers in the last quarters of 1980. Forecasted cutbacks in purchases to eastern suppliers in 1981 will amount to approximately \$20,000,000 from these 41 firms.

It is no wonder that we have lay-offs and high unemployment in eastern Canada. The document goes on and on about the effect of the NEP on the industry right across Canada. It is unfortunate that the Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources did not read this document. Just about every day one can pick up another document and find out about another business which is moving out of Canada, another large portion of money moving down to the United States because of Liberal policies, and nothing is being done about it. The October issue of the *Energy Month* states:

But in spite of the security blanket provided by the U.S. market, all manufacturers realize that they are vulnerable without Canadian sales. Wally Kuchar, president of Tri-Service Machine Ltd., is very blunt about the situation. "If it wasn't for the U.S. and Reagan's policies, we'd have had to lay off half our people."

Is that not a great policy that we have here in Canada? The article states further:

Gus Carscadden echoes the same view; "If it wasn't for the U.S., we'd be in desperate straits." Other manufacturers concur.

I have another document called the Alberta report. Earlier this year it was called the casualty report number four. Of course, the casualties have certainly been rising. It points out that since the publication of the National Energy Program on October 28, 1980, the Canadian Association of Oilwell Drilling Contractors has published periodic reports on the exodus of rigs to the United States. It states that from October, 1980, to March, 1981, 114 drilling operations moved to the United States. Forty-nine service operations moved to the United States. Is this not wonderful? Is this not really helping us? These insane socialistic policies are just great. Also, from April 1, 1981, to August, 1981—

Mr. Waddell: That's only the Alberta report.

Mr. McKenzie:—seventy-five drilling operations were to move. In servicing operations, 58 rigs were out of service. These are the figures for the summer of 1981. There were 124 rigs out of service and 126 servicing operations. How on earth will we reach energy self-sufficiency?

[Translation]

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Ethier): Order, please! It being six o'clock, I do now leave the chair until eight o'clock this evening.

At 6 p.m. the House took recess.

[English]

AFTER RECESS

The House resumed at 8 p.m.

Mr. McKenzie: Mr. Speaker, this afternoon before the supper break I was commenting on the casualty reports with

Canada Oil and Gas Act

regard to drilling and servicing operations being forced out of the country because of the Liberal government's energy policy and Bill C-48. I want to conclude my comments on the casualty reports. It has been pointed out that half of Canada's 1980 rig capacity would be idle or in the United States by mid-1981 and that \$850 million worth of Canadian rigs would be in the United States by mid-1981, and will not be back. The resulting job loss is 20,000. Yet we are told that this is good for us.

I commented earlier today with regard to information which the minister of state responsible for fitness and amateur sport was sending to his constituents. In this respect I congratulate my colleague, the hon. member for Halifax West (Mr. Crosby), for exposing the false information which this minister is distributing to his constituents concerning gas prices. I have extracted a page from the householder sent by the minister responsible for fitness and amateur sport to his constituents. In it he points out that gasoline in 1981 under the MacEachen formula will be \$1.36 per gallon. We know perfectly well that gasoline is not \$1.36 a gallon; it is \$1.74 and more. How can we believe anything the Liberals tell us about anything when this kind of false information is being sent out to constituents? I suppose this type of thing is going out all across Canada. Therefore, I offer my congratulations once again to the hon. member for Halifax West for exposing this minister of the Crown, this great Liberal, who has been sending out this sort of information to his constituents.

We had a debate earlier today on the subject of why nationalization of industry is so great for Canada. I have not seen any evidence that it is great at all. I would like to quote some remarks made by another colleague of mine, the hon. member for Capilano (Mr. Huntington). On October 29, 1981, as reported in *Hansard* at page 12322, the hon. member for Capilano said:

I wonder why that great NDP haven and example of the perfect life for the masses—I am speaking of Sweden—has backed away from increasing state ownership, to the point now where the state owns less than 5 per cent of the economy.

The Swedes have learned their lesson with regard to state ownership, infringement of the private sector, driving private enterprise out of the country, etc. and they have reduced state ownership to 5 per cent. I have not heard any of the NDP members reading that into the record.

It is amazing how many people across Canada have done research into the Liberal government's energy policies, its energy program and Bill C-48 and have exposed all the weaknesses. A recent article written in Calgary stated:

The probability Canada would be oil self-sufficient by 1990 was "close to zero," says a report by the Canadian Energy Research Institute.

The institute said it expected oil imports would peak in 1985 at 83,700 cubic meters or 526,000 barrels a day, an amount equalling 28 per cent of the domestic demand.

"The federal government's goal of self-sufficiency by 1990 will not likely be achieved unless there is a very substantial departure from anticipated crude oil supply and demand patterns. In fact, the expected value of oil imports by 1990 is 71,000 cubic metres a day (24 per cent of domestic demand)."

"While the probability of imports being less than one third of domestic demand in that year is .82, the probability of imports being eliminated by 1990 is close to zero,"—