

QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

ALCOHOL EDUCATION

Question No. 1,053—**Mr. Firth:**

1. (a) What is the budget allocated to alcohol education in Canada (b) how much of this is spent on alcohol education in the NWT?
2. (a) What is the overall budget for alcohol rehabilitation in Canada (b) how much of this is allocated to the NWT?
3. (a) How many people are employed by both the federal and territorial governments for alcohol rehabilitation programmes in the NWT (b) what are their qualifications (c) how many of these employees are native?

Return tabled.

EXPENDITURES ON MEDICAL RESEARCH, 1971-74

Question No. 1,524—**Mr. Reynolds:**

1. How much money was spent by the government on medical research during 1971, 1972, 1973 and 1974?
2. What organizations received the money and how much did each receive?

Return tabled.

LIP—GRANTS IN CONSTITUENCY OF MONCTON

Question No. 2,423—**Mr. Jones:**

1. For each year 1970 to 1975, what was the total amount granted under the Local Initiatives Programme in the Constituency of Moncton?
2. For the same years, how many project applications were (a) submitted (b) rejected (c) accepted?
3. What are the names of the projects that were (a) accepted (b) rejected?
4. What amount was granted by the government for each such project accepted?
5. How many jobs were created by each such project?

Return tabled.

MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

[English]

Mr. John M. Reid (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, notice of motion for the production of papers No. 47 is acceptable to the government. Mr. Speaker, I ask that all other motions be allowed to stand.

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

COPIES OF CORRESPONDENCE RELATING TO ALLEGATIONS MADE AGAINST SEAFARERS' INTERNATIONAL UNION

Motion No. 47—**Mr. Lawrence:**

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of the letter or memorandum containing the terms of reference, or other originating documents, directed by the Solicitor General to the Commissioner of RCMP relating to an investigation by RCMP into allegations made against the Seafarers' International Union of Canada.

CNR Pension

Mr. Baldwin: Mr. Speaker, I move the motion on behalf of the hon. member.

Motion agreed to.

MOTION TO ADJOURN UNDER S.O. 26

[English]

CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAYS

SUGGESTED REVIEW OF INADEQUATE ESCALATION OF PENSIONS OF RETIRED EMPLOYEES

Mr. Stanley Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 26. My reasons for asking leave to present a motion under that Standing Order are those which I gave when I sought earlier today to move a motion under Standing Order 43, so I will not endeavour to repeat them. Had that motion been accepted by the House, it would not have been necessary to offer a motion at this time.

● (1510)

Accordingly, I ask leave, seconded by the hon. member for Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands (Mr. Douglas), to move the adjournment of the House under Standing Order 26, for the purpose of discussing a specific and important matter requiring urgent consideration, namely, the announcement made recently by the Canadian National Railways to the effect that cost-of-living increases in the pensions of employees who retired prior to January 1, 1973, are to be minimal, and that there are to be no cost-of-living increases at all for those who retired in 1973 and in 1974, in order that this House may make it known to the Canadian National Railways that this announcement is totally unacceptable and should be reviewed forthwith, it being the view of this House that all pensions should be escalated at least by the percentage of the rise in the cost of living.

Mr. Speaker: Pursuant to the terms of Standing Order 26, the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles) gave notice to the Chair of his intention to move this motion.

While this is a matter of great concern not only to the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre but to all other members of the House, I have insisted in the past that motions put forward pursuant to Standing Order 26 which seek the setting aside of special hours outside the regular hours of sitting of the House for debate of a subject must concern a subject which has inherent in it some crisis or emergency proportions by which action may be taken as a result of the discussion in the House, that would somehow remedy or improve the situation which has taken on emergency or crisis proportions.

The situation referred to in the hon. member's motion is a matter of great concern. However, I am sure the hon. member is aware it has been a matter of great concern for some time. Perhaps he more ably than other hon. members has taken advantage of a number of the procedures of this House to bring to the attention of the House the problem