second time and referred to the Standing Committee on National Resources and Public Works.

And the question being put on the motion, it was agreed to on the following division:

(Division No. 26)

YEAS

MacFarlane

MacGuigan

Marceau

Marchand

Marchand

Matte

McIsaac

Morin (Mrs.)

(Hamilton East)

McRae

Munro

Olivier

Quellet

Parent

Pearsall

Pelletier

Penner

Philbrook

Prud'homme

Richardson

Peters

Poulin

Railton

Raines

Roberts

Robinson

Rondeau

(Laval)

Sauvé (Mrs.)

Stewart (Cochrane)

(Saint-Jean)

(London East)

(Ottawa-Carleton) Watson

Saltsman

Sharp

Smith

Stollery

Trudeau

Trudel

Turner

Turner

Whelan

Yanakis

Young- 136

Symes

Rooney

Roy

Reid

(Hochelaga) Pelletier (Sherbrooke)

O'Connell

(Langelier)

(Kamloops-Cariboo)

Messrs Abbott Dupont Allard Dupras Allmand Duquet Andras Ethier (Port Arthur) Firth Andres Fleming (Lincoln) Flynn Appolloni (Mrs.) Fortin Baker Foster (Gander-Twillingate) Fox Gauthier Basford Beaudoin (Roberval) Gauthier Bégin (Miss) (Ottawa-Vanier) Benjamin Gendron Blais Blaker Gilbert Blouin Gillespie Boulanger Goodale Breau Gover Guay (St. Boniface) Brewin Broadbent Guay (Lévis) Buchanan Bussières Herbert Caccia Cafik Holt (Mrs.) Campagnolo (Mrs.) Campbell (Miss) Hopkins Isabelle (South Western Nova) Jamieson Caouette Joval (Villeneuve) Kaplan Caouette (Témiscamingue) Knowles (Winnipeg Caron North Centre) Clermont Lachance Collenette Lajoie Comtois Lalonde Condon Lambert (Bellechasse) Corbin Corriveau Landers Côté Langlois Cullen Laniel Daudlin Lapointe De Bané Laprise Demers Lebland Dionne (Laurier) (Kamouraska) Lee Dionne Lefebyre (Northumberland-Leggatt Miramichi) Loiselle Douglas (Bruce-Grey) (Chambly) Lumley MacDonald Douglas (Nanaimo-Cowichan-(Cardigan) Macdonald

NAYS Messrs

(Rosedale)

MacEachen

Alexander Crouse Alkenbrack Darling Dick Andre Dinsdale (Calgary Centre) Baker Ellis (Grenville-Carleton) Elzinga Baldwin Fairweather Bawden Forrestall Beatty Gillies Brisco Halliday Cadieu Hamilton Clark (Rocky Mountain) Mountain) Hamilton (Vancouver Quadra) (Swift Current-Cossitt Maple Creek)

The Islands) Drury Dubé

> Hargrave Howie Huntington Hurlburt Jelinek Johnston Kempling (Norfolk-Haldimand) Korchinski Lambert (Qu'Appelle-Moose (Edmonton West) MacLean Macquarrie

Messrs

Malone	Neil	Stanfield
Marshall	Nielsen	Stevens
Mazankowski	Nowlan	Stewart
McCain	Oberle	(Marquette)
McCleave	O'Sullivan	Towers
McGrath	Reynolds	Wagner
McKenzie	Ritchie	Wenman
McKinley	Roche	Whittaker
McKinnon	Rynard	Wise
Munro	Schumacher	Woolliams- 67.
(Esquimalt-Saanich)	Skoreyko	

Accordingly, the Bill was read the second time and referred to the Standing Committee on National Resources and Public Works.

The House resumed debate on the motion of Mr. Sharp, seconded by Mr. MacEachen,-That Bill C-44, An Act to amend the Senate and House of Commons Act, the Salaries Act and the Parliamentary Secretaries Act, be now read a second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Miscellaneous Estimates.

And debate continuing:

[At 5.00 o'clock p.m., Private Members' Business was called pursuant to Standing Order 15(4)]

(Public Bills)

The Order being read for the second reading and reference to the Standing Committee on Justice and Legal Affairs of Bill C-234, An Act to amend the British North America Acts, 1867 to 1965 (Yukon and Northwest Territories Senate Representation);

STATEMENT BY MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I do not wish to question the suggestion by the Parliamentary Secretary regarding the agreement, but I have some reservation about the Bill that is proposed for study by the House this afternoon.

Let me refer honourable Members to a statement by Mr. Speaker on October 13, 1974 regarding the presentation of a mass of Private Members' bills. At that time he suggested it was impossible for the Chair to review the contents of each of these bills, and suggested that the bills be read the first time and leave it for the Chair to make a later decision regarding the procedural acceptability of individual proposals.

At the same time I feel it is unfair to come in on a day like this when there has been some general agreement as to the debate on a particular bill, and taking most of the time on a debate on the procedural acceptability of the proposal. I would be tempted to perhaps suggest to the House that there might be an agreement to debate the subject-matter of the bill, but to go that far would not be following our normal procedures. I would enter a caveat in respect of our proceeding with an examination of the bill proposed by the honourable Member for Yukon (Mr. Nielsen).