

1 Tuesday.....Court of Appeal for Ontario sits.  
2 Wednesday ..De Beauharnois, Governor, 1726.  
3 Sunday .....*Fourteenth Sunday after Trinity*.  
4 Monday.....Labor Day.  
5 Tuesday.....Jewish year 5657 begins.  
6 Saturday ....Frotenac, Governor of Canada, 1692.  
7 Sunday .....*Fifteenth Sunday after Trinity*.  
8 Monday .....Quebec taken, 1759. Law Society of U. C. Convoca-  
tion meets.  
9 Thursday ....First Parliament of U. C. met at Niagara, 1792.  
10 Friday .....Earl of Aberdeen, Governor-General, 1893.  
11 Sunday..... *Sixteenth Sunday after Trinity*.  
12 Tuesday.....Courcelles, Governor of Canada, 1665.  
13 Thursday ....Guy Carleton, Lieut.-Gov. and Com.-in-Chief, 1766.  
14 Friday .....Sir W. J. Ritchie died, 1892.  
15 Sunday .....*Seventeenth Sunday after Trinity*. Law School opens.  
16 Monday .....W. H. Blake, 1st Chancellor of U. C., 1849.  
17 Tuesday.....Michaelmas Day.  
18 Wednesday ..Sir Isaac Brock, Administrator, 1811.

**Dominion of Canada.**

SUPREME COURT.

[June 6.

PURDOM v. PAVEY.  
*Action—Jurisdiction to entertain—Mortgage on foreign lands—Action to set aside—Secret trust—Lex rei sitae.*

*aside—Secret trust—Lex rei sitae.*

An insolvent firm assigned for the benefit of creditors. Shortly after the assignment a brother of E. D., a member of the firm, died in Oregon, U.S., and left real estate there which he devised to his parents for life, and at their death to E.D., who some months after sold his interest to his father, who mortgaged the lands to P. An action was brought by creditors of the insolvent firm to have this mortgage set aside as fraudulent, and a demurrer to the statement of claim was allowed: *Burns v. Davidson*, 21 O. R. 547. The action was then abandoned, and another brought in which it was alleged that P. took the mortgage as trustee only for E.D., in pursuance of a fraudulent scheme to hinder, delay and defraud the creditors of the firm, and it was asked that P. be declared a trustee for D. of the said mortgage and the monies secured thereby. A demurrer to this statement of claim was allowed by ARMOUR, C.J., but his judgment was reversed on appeal. (22 A. R. 9)

*Held*, reversing the decision of the Court of Appeal (23 A. R. 9) that the action would not lie ; that the above allegation could only be read as one impeaching the mortgage transaction as fraudulent for having been made on a secret trust ; that so far as the lands were concerned the validity of the