before this Committee. One was suggested by one of their Lordships—whether the condition was apportionable, and, if not apportionable, whether the demandants could sue, not being the owners of nor interested in the whole of the property which is the subject-matter of the condition. On that question also, their Lordships do not find it necessary, in their present judgment, to express any opinion.

There were also questions whether the condition of re-entry was void in its inception, whether it was a condition of re-entry properly, or was merely inserted in the deed of gift in terrorem, and merely comminatoire.

There was also a question of prescription and other questions in the case upon which their Lordships do not propose to express any opinion, as the appeal may be disposed of on another and satisfactory ground.

The magistrates of Montreal having got possession of the land under that deed of 1803, and converted it into a public market, we come next to the Ordinance of 4 Vict., by which the magistrates ceased to be the managing body of the city of Montreal, and were replaced by a quasi-corporate body. That leads to the 8 Vict. c. 59. The magistrates in Montreal had accepted this deed of 1803, which, whether it was for valuable consideration, or a simple voluntary deed, was a deed of grant for ever. The words are "maintenant et à toujours"—but subject to the condition, whatever the effect of it was. Therefore, at the time of the incorporation of the city, the magistrates were, as trustees for the public, in ownership of this land in perpetuity, subject to the condition, with this market upon it; and over this public market place, not inhabitants of the city alone, but the public at large had acquired considerable

That being the position of affairs, there came the Canadian statute of 8 Vict. c. 59; that statute is not a general Act dealing with all corporations, but with Montreal alone. It is to give greater potency and effect to the incorporation of the city of Montreal and to enlarge the powers of the corporate body. It gives them very extensive powers over the city, and amongst other things it says, in the 50th section, that they shall have power

of "changing the site of any market or " market place within the said city, or to establish any new market or market place, or to abolish any market or market place now in existence or hereafter to be in existence in the said city, or to appropriate the site thereof, or any part of such site for any other public purpose whatever, any law, statute, or usage to the contrary " notwithstanding; saving to any party aggrieved by any act of the said council respecting any such market or market place any remedy such party may by law have against the corporation of the said city for any damage by such party, sustained by reason of such act" of the corporation.

Now it was contended that, acting under that statute and converting this market place to another public purpose, was no breach of the condition, and that the effect of the statute was to discharge the condition and leave it open to the corporation, acting for the public interests, to appropriate the site of that market place to any other public purpose, but subject to a claim for compensation by the demandant here and the parties he represents, if they had title, and had been injured by the act of the corporation. Now upon this very important question as to the effect of this statute, their Lordships do not think that it is necessary at present to express any opinion.

Proceeding under the powers that they had so obtained in December 1847, the first bylaw was made. In that, the corporation indicate their intention to abolish this market and apply the site to another public purpose, and their Lordships can have no doubt, that in taking that step, the corporation were moved only by considerations of public good. They found it necessary, probably, to supply the growing city with a larger market place, for Montreal in 1847 was a very different place from the Montreal of 1803, growing and extending every day, and still growing and becoming one of the most beautiful cities in the world. They very likely thought that a larger market place was necessary, but that they ought to retain the space occupied by the market as an open space for the public good and the public health, and hence they