

And the House having continued to sit until after Twelve of the clock, on Thursday morning;

Thursday, 16th March, 1865.

And the Question being put on the Amendment, the House divided: and the names being called for, they were taken down, as follow:—

YEAS:

Messieurs

<i>Caron,</i>	<i>Holton,</i>	<i>Labreche-Viger,</i>	<i>Perrault,</i>
<i>Coupal,</i>	<i>Houde,</i>	<i>Laframboise,</i>	<i>Rymal,</i>
<i>Dorion (Hochelaga),</i>	<i>Huntington,</i>	<i>Macdonald (Cornwall)</i>	<i>Scatcherd, and</i>
<i>Fortier,</i>	<i>Joly,</i>	<i>O'Halloran,</i>	<i>Thibaucaeu.—17.</i>
<i>Geoffrion,</i>			

NAYS:

Messieurs

<i>Alleyn,</i>	<i>DeBoucherville,</i>	<i>Lajoie,</i>	<i>Robitaille,</i>
<i>Ault,</i>	<i>Denis,</i>	<i>Langevin,</i>	<i>Rose,</i>
<i>Beaubien,</i>	<i>DeNiverville,</i>	<i>Macdonald, Atty. Gen. Ross (Champlain),</i>	
<i>Bellerose,</i>	<i>Dorion (Crm. & Arth.)</i>	<i>Macdonald (Tor' o W.)</i>	<i>Ross (Dundas),</i>
<i>Biggar,</i>	<i>Duckett,</i>	<i>Mackenzie (Lambton),</i>	<i>Ross (Prince Edward),</i>
<i>Blanchet,</i>	<i>Dufresne (Iberville),</i>	<i>Mackenzie (N. Oxford)</i>	<i>Scoble,</i>
<i>Bowman,</i>	<i>Dufresne (Montcalm),</i>	<i>Magill,</i>	<i>Shanly,</i>
<i>Bown,</i>	<i>Dunsford,</i>	<i>McConkey,</i>	<i>Smith (East Durham)</i>
<i>Brousseau,</i>	<i>Evanturel,</i>	<i>McDougall,</i>	<i>Smith (Toronto East),</i>
<i>Brown,</i>	<i>Ferguson (Frontenac),</i>	<i>McGee,</i>	<i>Stirton,</i>
<i>Burwell,</i>	<i>Ferguson (S. Simcoe),</i>	<i>McGiverin,</i>	<i>Street,</i>
<i>Cameron (N. Ontario),</i>	<i>Galt,</i>	<i>McKellar,</i>	<i>Sylvain,</i>
<i>Cameron (Peel),</i>	<i>Gaucher,</i>	<i>Morris,</i>	<i>Thompson,</i>
<i>Carling,</i>	<i>Gaudet,</i>	<i>Pâquet,</i>	<i>Tremblay,</i>
<i>Cartier, Atty. Gen.</i>	<i>Gibbs,</i>	<i>Parker,</i>	<i>Walsh,</i>
<i>Cartwright,</i>	<i>Harwood,</i>	<i>Pope,</i>	<i>Webb,</i>
<i>Cauchon,</i>	<i>Haultain,</i>	<i>Poulin,</i>	<i>Wells,</i>
<i>Chapais,</i>	<i>Higginson,</i>	<i>Poupore,</i>	<i>White,</i>
<i>Cockburn,</i>	<i>Howland,</i>	<i>Powell,</i>	<i>Willson,</i>
<i>Cowan,</i>	<i>Jackson,</i>	<i>Raymond,</i>	<i>Wright (Ot' a Co.), and</i>
<i>Currier,</i>	<i>Jones (South Leeds),</i>	<i>Rémillard,</i>	<i>Wright (E. York).—84.</i>

So it passed in the Negative.

Then, the main Question being put, That the Second Resolution be now read a second time, the House divided: and the names being called for, they were taken down, as follow:—

YEAS:

Messieurs

<i>Alleyn,</i>	<i>DeBoucherville,</i>	<i>Langevin,</i>	<i>Rose,</i>
<i>Ault,</i>	<i>Denis,</i>	<i>Macdonald, Atty. Gen. Ross (Champlain),</i>	
<i>Beaubien,</i>	<i>DeNiverville,</i>	<i>Macdonald (Cornwall)</i>	<i>Ross (Dundas),</i>
<i>Bellerose,</i>	<i>Duckett,</i>	<i>Macdonald (Tor' to W.)</i>	<i>Ross (Prince Edward)</i>
<i>Biggar,</i>	<i>Dufresne (Montcalm),</i>	<i>Macfarlane,</i>	<i>Rymal,</i>
<i>Blanchet,</i>	<i>Dunsford,</i>	<i>Mackenzie (Lambton),</i>	<i>Scoble,</i>
<i>Bowman,</i>	<i>Evanturel,</i>	<i>Mackenzie (N. Oxford)</i>	<i>Shanly,</i>
<i>Bown,</i>	<i>Ferguson (Frontenac),</i>	<i>Magill,</i>	<i>Smith (East Durham),</i>
<i>Brousseau,</i>	<i>Ferguson (S. Simcoe),</i>	<i>McConkey,</i>	<i>Smith (Toronto East),</i>
<i>Brown,</i>	<i>Galt,</i>	<i>McDougall,</i>	<i>Stirton,</i>
<i>Burwell,</i>	<i>Gaucher,</i>	<i>McGee,</i>	<i>Street,</i>
<i>Cameron (N. Ontario),</i>	<i>Gaudet,</i>	<i>McGiverin,</i>	<i>Sylvain,</i>
<i>Cameron (Peel),</i>	<i>Gibbs,</i>	<i>McKellar,</i>	<i>Thompson,</i>
<i>Carling,</i>	<i>Harwood,</i>	<i>Morris,</i>	<i>Tremblay,</i>