for the question?" and it is then open for discussion.

he

ne

lls

ne

Js-

of

he

on

Beal-

an-

m,

he

 \mathbf{rd}

ich.

To

ere

be

on-

m-

in

in

re-

be-

mo-

ats

hat

 \mathbf{ady}

So as to clearly understand the contents of the letter it is quite in order to ask for information concerning the contents, which question can be answered by anyone who can do so. If necessary an amendment to the motion can be made; also an amendment to the amendment, but these must not be directly opposite to the previous motion. For instance, you cannot move to do something," and then move as an amendment "that we do not do so." For you could secure the same end as proposed in the amendment by defeating the original motion. Except the mover of a motion, who may speak twice, no one should speak more than once on any question. They should learn to say all they wish simply, clearly, and completely, and not say one thing and then sit down and a moment after get up to say something else which had been forgotten. Certainly no one should speak twice, whilst someone who has not spoken wishes to do so.

If for some reason it is not desired to discuss some piece of correspondence at the time it is read, it can be referred to new business, or some other part of the proceedings, and will then come up for consideration under that heading of business.

Under new business first take up anything referred to this time from a previous order of business unless there is some reason why it should be left till later.