

contract, the Committee recommended their tender for acceptance, considering it the safest and, in the end, the cheapest. And as in the Session of 1859, the then Joint Committee of the Legislative Council and Legislative Assembly of the late Province of *Canada*, in their discretion, rejected the lowest tender, (which was \$3,000 less than the one they recommended,) and their Report was referred back for the Committee to submit their calculations; which while doing so, the Committee simply reported the calculations and the names of the several tenderers, leaving the responsibility of selection to the Legislature; the result of which was the selection of the lowest tenderer, who failed within the year, involving great annoyance and heavy pecuniary loss, though his sureties were undoubted.

The Committee now respectfully beg to report the names of the several Tenderers, with the total calculations for each, leaving to Parliament the responsibility of selection:

<i>I. B. Taylor</i>	\$14,696 76
<i>Hunter, Rose & Lemieux</i>	16,472 75
<i>Robertson & Cooke</i>	21,018 64½
<i>Anne Lovell</i>	21,531 75½
<i>James Cotton</i>	22,693 23
<i>E. A. Taylor & Co.</i>	26,693 21

Moved, in amendment, by Mr. *Bowell*, seconded by Mr. *Stephenson*,

That the recommendation to this Committee by the House of Commons to substitute the name of "*I. B. Taylor*" for that of "*Hunter, Rose & Lemieux*," in the tenders for Printing in the Sixth Report of the Joint Committee on Printing, and to report the same to Parliament for acceptance, be adopted; and that said change be made.

And the Question being put on the Amendment, the Committee divided: and the names being called for, they were taken down, as follow:

<i>Yeas:</i>	<i>Nays:</i>
Hon. Messrs. <i>Anderson,</i> <i>Burnham,</i> Messrs. <i>Beaty,</i> <i>Bowell,</i> <i>Ferguson,</i> <i>Stephenson</i> —6.	Hon. Messrs. <i>Bureau,</i> <i>Dumouchel,</i> <i>Odell,</i> <i>Olivier,</i> <i>Reesor,</i> <i>Sanborn,</i> Messrs. <i>Bellerose,</i> <i>Brousseau,</i> <i>Mackenzie,</i> <i>Young</i> —10.

So it passed in the Negative.

Then the main question being put, the Committee divided: and the names being called for, they were taken down, as follow:

<i>Yeas:</i>	<i>Nays:</i>
Hon. Messrs. <i>Bureau,</i> <i>Dumouchel,</i> <i>Olivier,</i> <i>Reesor,</i> <i>Sanborn,</i> Messrs. <i>Bellerose,</i> <i>Brousseau,</i> <i>Mackenzie,</i> <i>Young</i> —9.	Hon. Messrs. <i>Anderson,</i> <i>Burnham,</i> <i>Odell,</i> Messrs. <i>Beaty,</i> <i>Bowell,</i> <i>Ferguson,</i> <i>Stephenson</i> —7.

So it was resolved in the Affirmative.

The Clerk submitted the following letter and enclosure from Messrs. *Hunter, Rose & Lemieux*, which were read:—