

ment for a particular office, and the question submitted viz : that of legal practice upon the degree in England. Dr. McDonnell's statement that a graduate of McGill College would not be recognized in England," is at utter variance with the positive testimony of an opposite character of Dr. Badgley, Dr. Wright, Dr. Holmes, and Dr. Campbell, to which I have to add my own testimony, that the degree *would be recognised and has been recognised* in more instances than one. Dr. Gibb's testimony is at more singular variance with the tenor of the question submitted. His degree went for nothing when he was seeking the diplomata of Irish Institutions ; and so precisely would it be with McGill College in regard to other Institutions. This mystification of the subject is to be regretted, as tending to embarrass it in the minds of those members who will be called upon to decide upon the matter by their votes. The question is simply, can the holder of a McGill College M. D. practise in England, and to this simple question facts declare that he can, with the exception of London and a circuit of ten miles around it, from which the charter of the Royal College of Physicians debars him ; and in this respect he stands precisely on the same ground as the graduate of any other British, or even foreign University. He can practise as a physician, and as a physician only. His degree gives him no other privilege, and to no other is he entitled. But to that privilege he is fully and legally entitled, and in his pretension he would be sustained, as has been abundantly proved in the instances of graduates from the Scottish Universities. The question of the committee, then, is thus plainly and succinctly answered, and Dr. Laterrière is thus shorn of another argument, on which, I have no doubt, he fondly based his hostility against British graduates.

I will conclude these strictures on the report of the Special Committee in my next.

Montreal, March 3rd, 1853.

No. 3.

Question 7.—“ Do you consider that the Bill hereunto annexed brought in by Dr. Laterrière, would be a sufficient remedy against the abuses and impositions in question ? And if not, what would