

HISTORIC SITES

PROPOSED RECONSIDERATION OF ADMISSION FEE TO LOWER FORT GARRY—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Stanley Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, I rise to propose a motion under the provisions of Standing Order 43. I do so because in these days when Canadians are being urged to be interested in the heritage that is ours we in Manitoba find it difficult to understand the rather exorbitant fee that is to be levied, starting April 1, for admission to Lower Fort Garry on the Red River, in our province of Manitoba. I therefore move, seconded by the hon. member for Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands (Mr. Douglas):

That this House urges the Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development to cancel the proposal, which has been set to come into effect on April 1, to charge a fee of \$2 per person or \$5 per family for admission to Lower Fort Garry, a place which should be open to all Canadians who wish to visit it, Lower Fort Garry being part of our western heritage and truly a national historic site.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. The motion proposed pursuant to Standing Order 43 requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

* * *

PROCEDURE AND ORGANIZATION

CONCURRENCE IN SECOND REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

Hon. Mitchell Sharp (President of the Privy Council) moved that the second report of the Standing Committee on Procedure and Organization presented to the House on Friday, March 14, 1975 be concurred in.

Motion agreed to.

* * *

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

EASTER ADJOURNMENT

On the order: Motions

March 21, 1975—The President of the Privy Council:

That when the House adjourns on Wednesday, March 26, 1975, it shall stand adjourned until Monday, April 7, 1975, provided that at any time prior to that date, if it appears to the satisfaction of Mr. Speaker, after consultation with the government, that the public interest requires that the House should meet at an earlier time during the adjournment, Mr. Speaker may give notice that he is so satisfied, and thereupon the House shall meet at the time stated in such notice, and shall transact its business as if it had been duly adjourned to that time; and

That in the event of Mr. Speaker's being unable to act owing to illness or other cause, the Deputy Speaker or the Deputy Chairman of Committees shall act in his stead for all purposes of this order.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the said motion?

Order Paper Questions

Mr. Sharp: Mr. Speaker, could this motion be stood for consideration later?

Mr. Speaker: Is it agreed that the motion shall stand for later consideration?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

* * *

FEDERAL-PROVINCIAL FISCAL ARRANGEMENTS ACT, 1972

AMENDMENTS TO CHANGE THE CALCULATION OF PROVINCIAL REVENUE EQUALIZATION REVENUE RELATED TO PROVINCIAL OIL AND GAS REVENUES

Hon. Mitchell Sharp (for Minister of Finance) moved for leave to introduce Bill C-57, to amend the Federal-Provincial Fiscal Arrangements Act, 1972.

Motion agreed to, bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.

QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

Mr. John M. Reid (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, the following questions will be answered today: 813, 894, 1,130, 1,189, 1,242, 1,355, 1,361, 1,364, 1,458, 1,474, 1,516, 1,525, 1,529, 1,548, 1,552, 1,578, 1,582, 1,607, 1,664, 1,677, 1,710, 1,711, 1,713, 1,714, 1,716, 1,717, 1,718, 1,719, 1,720, 1,722, 1,727, 1,730 and 1,760.

If questions No. 547, 703, 784, 1,036, 1,663 and 1,745 could be made orders for return these returns would be tabled immediately.

Would you be so kind as to call starred question No. 1,678?

I ask that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

[Text]

COMPANY OF YOUNG CANADIANS EMPLOYEES

Question No. 813—**Mr. Stevens:**

1. As of March 31 in each year 1968, 1970, 1972 and 1974 (a) how many were employed by the Company of Young Canadians (b) what would have been their aggregate salaries if all were employed for a full year (c) how many had salary levels of (i) \$20,000 or more (ii) \$35,000 or more (iii) \$50,000 or more?

2. What was the salary or the salary range for each of the five highest paid employees of the Company?

Hon. James Hugh Faulkner (Secretary of State): I am informed by the Company of Young Canadians as follows:
1.