

*Mackenzie Pipeline*

**Some hon. Members:** No.

**Mr. Speaker:** There not being unanimous consent, the motion cannot be put.

\* \* \*

## ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

## PROPOSED REFERENDUM ON RE-ESTABLISHMENT OF CAPITAL PUNISHMENT—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Adrien Lambert (Bellechasse):** Mr. Speaker, under the terms of Standing Order 43, I request unanimous consent of the House to move a motion dealing with a matter of undeniable importance and urgency.

In view of the many loathsome crimes committed in Canada since the beginning of 1975, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Rimouski (Mr. Allard):

That this House authorize the Standing Committee on Justice to look carefully into the timeliness of introducing a measure designed to allow the holding of a referendum on reinstating capital punishment.

**Mr. Speaker:** Order. The House has heard the motion of the hon. member. Under the terms of Standing Order 43, the motion requires unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Yes.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

**Mr. Speaker:** As there is not unanimous consent, the motion cannot be put.

\* \* \*

● (1410)

[English]

## INDIAN AFFAIRS

## SUGGESTED DIRECTION TO MINISTER TO CEASE INQUIRY INTO GAS PIPELINES IN MACKENZIE DELTA—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Stuart Leggatt (New Westminster):** Mr. Speaker, I rise on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity pursuant to Standing Order 43. In view of the recent decision by the Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development (Mr. Buchanan) to proceed with an inquiry by his own department into the feasibility of gas processing and feeder lines in the Mackenzie Delta, and in view of the fact that the Berger commission hearing will commence on the same subject in one month's time and will deal with exactly the same matter and many of the same witnesses, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Regina-Lake Centre (Mr. Benjamin):

That this House direct the Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development to forthwith cease his special inquiry into gas processing and feeder lines in the Mackenzie Delta and that the Berger commission be given an opportunity to do its job without interference by the minister and his officials.

**Mr. Speaker:** The House has heard the terms of the motion. It being proposed pursuant to Standing Order 43,

[Mr. Speaker.]

it cannot be debated without the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

**Mr. Speaker:** There not being unanimous consent, it cannot be debated at this time.

\* \* \*

## FEDERAL REMUNERATION REVIEW ACT

## MEASURE TO ESTABLISH COMMISSION TO REVIEW FEDERAL EXECUTIVE, LEGISLATIVE AND JUDICIAL SALARIES

**Hon. Robert Stanbury (York-Scarborough)** moved for leave to introduce Bill C-375, to provide for the establishment of a commission to report upon executive, legislative and judicial remuneration and to provide for the periodic readjustment of such remuneration.

**Some hon. Members:** Explain.

**Mr. Stanbury:** Mr. Speaker, this bill would establish, for a one-year term every four years, a Federal Remuneration Review Commission to recommend appropriate remuneration for federal executive, legislative and judicial offices, to take effect from the following general election. It avoids indexation, which parliament has not endorsed for other earnings, and avoids as well the arbitrary assessment of our own worth. While recognizing the ultimate responsibility of parliament to decide on such remuneration, this bill would ensure an orderly, open, regular review on the basis of independent analysis and advice.

Motion agreed to, bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.

\* \* \*

[Translation]

## PRIVILEGE

## MR. LAMBERT (BELLECHASSE)—LATE DELIVERY OF FRENCH EDITION OF HANSARD

**Mr. Adrien Lambert (Bellechasse):** Mr. Speaker, I think you will forgive me for not having taken the opportunity at the outset of the sitting to call your attention on a question of privilege which concerns not only the member for Bellechasse, but also the other French-speaking members of this House. I do not want to blame or incriminate anyone. I would like to be thoroughly understood on that, but I deplore the fact that the French version of *Hansard* has reached us far too late today, and this is not the first time it has happened, with the result that we are prevented from checking carefully into the report of the proceedings of the day before. That is what happened today, for example: I left my office at 1:50 p.m. to get to the House and I had not yet received the French version of *Hansard* for yesterday. Now, it happens sometimes, Mr. Speaker, that we need to check into some decisions taken in the House which could give us some indication about the business of the House for the sitting to come, and could help us avoid waste of time and speed up the procedures to