special vote of \$5,000 would be only doing scant justice to these people.

Mr. FISHER. I appreciate to the full what my hon, friend (Mr. Staples) has said. But I have pointed out to him the impossibility of doing this under the law. The hon. gentleman asks for a special vote to cover this case. But there were many men who had their horses slaughtered within a few weeks or even a few days of the time we have paid compensation and we have always refused to pay, because if we should open the door to these cases there would be no end to it. The hon, member for Qu'Appelle (Mr. Lake) I am sure, will remember one case that he brought to my attention, a case of very great hardship in which a man whose horses were slaughtered became very ill as a result of his loss. I should have been very glad indeed to have felt free to ask for a vote in that case. But I could not ask it, and I do not see how I can ask it in the case referred to by the hon. member for Macdonald (Mr. Staples).

Mr. STAPLES. I do not ask the minister to go back to the day when he began compensating the other provinces. All I ask is that he should compensate Manitoba for the time during which the people of Manitoba had to help to pay compensation to others. The case mentioned by the hon minister is not a parallel case at all. I understand it was previous to the time when this government decided to compensate for glanders.

Mr. FISHER. I would like to ask my hon. friend (Mr. Staples) a question. Were these horses killed by authority of the Manitoba government?

Mr. STAPLES. Yes.

Mr. FISHER. Were they killing any horses except those that showed clinical symptoms?

Mr. STAPLES. I have not that information here.

Mr. FISHER. I understand that they did not. And at the very time, when these horses were killed by the Manitoba veterinaries, we were killing dozens and hundreds all over Canada without paying compensation—exactly similar cases. The hon, gentleman is asking me to make a special exception and pay for these horses, when I was not paying for other horses in other parts of the country killed under the same conditions.

Mr. STAPLES. I understand that some of these horses did not show clinical symptoms. All I ask of the hon. minister is to give to the province of Manitoba the same treatment that has been meted out to other provinces.

Mr. HERRON. Has the department come to any conclusion with reference to compulsory dipping for cattle mange?

Mr. STAPLES.

Mr. FISHER. With the information we have at present, we cannot see that it is necessary to pass a compulsory dipping order for the whole area. There may have to be an order given for certain limited areas. We should be glad if our information shall be such as to enable us to avoid giving an order at all, but I will not say that such an order will not be issued later on.

Mr. HERRON. The hon, minister is aware that the people there are protesting very strongly against compulsory dipping?

Mr. FISHER. Yes.

Mr. HERRON. And I think justly too.

Mr. FISHER. But there are a good many people up there who quite approve it.

Civil government—Department of Labour—salaries \$15,037.50: contingencies, \$937.50.

Hon. RODOLPHE LEMIEUX (Minister of Labour). The apparent decrease of over \$3,000 as compared with last year, is accounted for by the fact that the present estimate is for only nine months instead of for the full year. Besides there is no increase, the amount is proportionately the same as last year. There is a provision made for one second-class clerk. We need the services of a translator in the department. Until now the translation of the 'Labour Gazette' from English into French has been made by one of the officers of the Post Office Department. This new clerk will do that work, but there is no increase in expense. Then we want another messenger, for whom we make provision of \$500 a year, instead of \$700, as he is to take the place of a third-class clerk.

Department of Labour—printing and stationery, including printing of 'Labour Gazette,' allowances to correspondents, Administration Act, Railway Labour Disputes Act, travelling expenses, &c., and \$500 for an accountant, which sum may be paid to any one in the Civil Service, notwithstanding anything in the Civil Service Act, \$21,562.50.

Mr. LEMIEUX. I move to amend that vote by substituting the word 'Conciliation for the word 'Administration.'

Motion agreed to.

Mr. BLAIN. I notice that titles are being given in the Labour Department. We were surprised that they would be accepted. Perhaps the minister could give us an explanation of that.

Mr. LEMIEUX. All I can say is that Mr. King, the worthy Deputy Minister of the Labour Department, was, as my hon. friend states, the recipient of a great honour on the occasion of Dominion Day, and received congratulations from all hands. It is said that Mr. King has rendered very valuable services to the labouring classes of this country. Moreover, I may say that he is a scholar, and he is the first Canadian who has been able at his age, after a