

APPENDIX No. 1

DAGGER, F.—*Con.*

PAGE.

British Post Office specification for telephone system. . . . .	18
Canada, proportion of telephones to number of inhabitants in provinces.	8
Competition in Great Britain, history of. . . . .	11
Competition in United States, effect of. . . . .	10
Competition in United States, statement showing results of. . . . .	30
Competition rates, &c., in United States, statistics of. . . . .	22, 31
Co-operative telephone systems in United States, information regarding	38, 39
Edmonton, acquisition of telephone system by municipality of. . . . .	43
Essentials for popular telephone system. . . . .	15
Europe, comparison of telephone service and development in. . . . .	32
Europe, rates for local service in. . . . .	22
Farmers' telephone service in Canada, information <i>re</i> . . . . .	33, 38
Federal Telephone Company, Cleveland, over capitalized companies controlled by. . . . .	31
Fort William, effect of municipal competition in. . . . .	41
Fort William, municipal telephones in. . . . .	15
Glasgow, letter from A. R. Bennett, in defence of municipal competition.	12
Glasgow, municipal competition in. . . . .	12
Glasgow, statement by Sir S. Chisholm in defense of municipal competition in. . . . .	13
Government control of local telephone systems, suggestion for. . . . .	17
Government control of long distance lines recommended. . . . .	17
Government license on telephone business, conditions suggested for. . . . .	17
Government ownership of local systems not a success. . . . .	15
Guernsey Channel Islands, state owned telephone system in. . . . .	15
Independent telephones in Ohio, Michigan and Indiana, statement showing comparative growth of Bell and. . . . .	10
Legislation for farmers' telephone systems, recommendation regarding.	20
Long distance line construction in Great Britain and Canada compared.	16
Long distance lines of Bell Telephone Company, estimated value of. . . . .	16
Long distance rates in Europe and America. . . . .	33
Municipal competition in Fort William and Port Arthur, effect of. . . . .	41
Neepawa, Man., municipal telephones in. . . . .	15
Neepawa, Man., results of Municipal telephone operation in. . . . .	42
Norway, municipal telephones in Trondhem. . . . .	14
Port Arthur, Ont., municipal telephones in. . . . .	15
Rates in Fort William and Port Arthur. . . . .	41
Rates in Neepawa, Man. . . . .	42
Rates in United States, competitive. . . . .	22-31
Rotterdam, Holland, municipal telephones in. . . . .	15
Rural telephone systems, cost of constructing. . . . .	19
Russia, municipal telephones in St. Petersburg. . . . .	15
Specification for farmers' telephone systems, suggestions for. . . . .	20
Specification of British Post Office for telephone systems. . . . .	18
Statistics of independent telephone companies in United States. . . . .	22-29
Tunbridge Wells, Eng., municipal telephones in. . . . .	13
United States, number of Bell and Independent telephones on December 31, 1901. . . . .	10

Demers, Dr. J. F., Quebec, P.Q.:

Administration of company, co-operation in. . . . .	230
Agreement between the 'Bell' and 'Beauce' Telephone Companies, effect of upon Bellechasse Telephone Co. . . . .	241