

La Coalition  
québécoise  
sur les  
changements  
climatiques  
(CQCC)



Quebec  
Coalition  
on Climate  
Change  
(QCCC)

The apparent tranquillity of ABGM-1 was contrasted with the eventful week of ABGM-2.

First, the E.U. tabled a proposal on a frame work protocol the second day of the conference that did attract a lot of attention from a number a different countries (AOSIS and G-77 and China). The JUSCANZ countries were very slow to react (when they did).

As far as Canada was concerned, they were no major problems with the E.U. proposal although they thought it was a little bit premanure and that the proposal needed some clarification's. Environmental Non-Governmental Organizations (ENGOs) were a little disappointed that Canada didn't explicitly support this proposal, especially since a protocol is the kind of legal instrument that Canada is looking for.

Finally on the E.U. proposal. It contained a very interesting element for Canada which is the collective reductions, this might very well be for us the only we to reach our reduction target. (E.U. was a framework - ideas were generated by the E.U. and many delegations, including possible consideration of collective targets and differentiation (different targets)).

The U.S. ~~did~~ also attracted quite a bit of attention with a rather entertaining, but controversial slide show. They were also accused on a number of occasions of trying to divert us from our main goal - the Berlin Mandate - and also of overburdening the Secretariat with an exhaustive list of irrelevant demands.

The discussions on the strengthening of article 4.2 a) and b) were launched the debate of policics of mcasures for Annex 1 countries. The Parties were divided as to whether or not more analysis and asscsmnt war needed or if, since this an iterative process, this would be done as

..12