

ing all things that our fallibility learns to what we are to hold fast as good. Dollinger with his piety, his learning, his self-devotion, his great personal ascendancy has made it evident that the Church of Rome cannot be reformed. Reason and morality in his person have tried to make terms with Ultramontanism and Jesuitism and have tried in vain.

—The beauty of University College as a pile, set off by its site, was unquestionable; and its temporary destruction is a public sorrow. But the style was false. The heaviness of Norman architecture belonged to a period before the architect had gained confidence in his materials and can be redeemed only by the majesty of prodigious massiveness, as it is in Durham Cathedral. The style was not unsuitable for a Church which required only a “dim religious light,” the eyes of all the worshippers being fixed on the lamp hung over the Host, and it was perfectly suitable for a Norman keep which required no light at all. But it was not well-suited for domestic purposes or for the lecture-rooms and halls of a University. The decorative carvings, in like manner, belonged to the infancy of art, of which they were a factitious reproduction: an artist of the thirteenth or fourteenth centuries would have looked upon them with disdain. The general structure was ill-adapted to a climate with a heavy snow-fall, and the internal arrangements were inconvenient. In this last respect it may be hoped that the reconstruction will be an improvement. The Phoenix will rise in due course more glorious from its ashes and it will learn henceforth to provide proper hydrants and insure to the requisite amount. In laying down the principles of public action in the matter it ought not to be forgotten that all the chartered universities stand, as they maintain, in the same relation to the State.

—If the plan of granting to pupils on leaving the High Schools certificates of fitness for admission to the University