

peal from interlocutory judgment rejected with costs.

Stefani & Monbleau.—Application for leave to appeal to Privy Council rejected.

McShane & Brisson.—Motion for the issuing of a writ of appeal granted under all reserves. It is ordered that a writ do issue, and security be given within eight days.

Gilman et al. & Gilman (No. 33).—Leave to appeal to Privy Council granted.

The Court adjourned to Tuesday, Feb. 26.

SUPERIOR COURT—MONTREAL.*

Quebec Controverted Elections Act—Employment of speakers by the candidate—Advance of money by candidate to official agent—Corrupt purpose not presumed—Intimidation—Agent—General treating.

Held, 1. A candidate may lawfully employ and pay a speaker to advocate his cause by public speeches during the election contest. (*Wheler v. Gibbs*, 4 Can. S. C. R. 430).

2. It will not be assumed, as against the candidate, from the fact that money placed by him in the hands of an official agent for disbursements, has not been fully or accurately accounted for by the agent (who expended only one-third thereof), that it was advanced by the candidate, or expended by the agent, for corrupt purposes. Proof must be made of the corrupt payments, and that the candidate sanctioned them. Such advance of money, however, is objectionable.

3. A person who takes part in committee work and assists in checking voters' lists, with the knowledge and sanction of the candidate, is an agent within the meaning of the election law.

4. A father and son were notified by an agent of the candidate that if they voted, the wife of the first mentioned would be prosecuted for illegal practice of midwifery: *held*, a case of intimidation sufficient to annul the election.

5. Where ordinary hospitality is shown during an election by an agent of the candidate to a friend, it will not be presumed because the person receiving it was a voter, that the entertainment was offered with a corrupt motive.

* To appear in Montreal Law Reports, 4 S.C.

6. Forty or fifty persons, including several voters, assembled on the eve of an election at the house of an agent, where liquor was served to them indiscriminately, and there was heavy and general drinking. *Held* (Taschereau, J., differing), that it was a case of general treating sufficient to annul the election.—*L'Assomption Election Case, Magnan v. Forest, Johnson, Taschereau, Loranger, J.J.*, Nov. 30, 1888.

INSOLVENT NOTICES, ETC.

Quebec Official Gazette, Jan. 26.

Judicial Abandonments.

Zotique Pouliot, trader, L'Islet, Jan. 18.

Eugène Roy, dry goods, Quebec, Jan. 22.

Curators appointed.

Re L. R. Baker, Beauharnois.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, Jan. 18.

Re Beauchamp & Betournay.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, Jan. 23.

Re Emery Bissonnette, St. Hyacinthe.—C. Desmar-teau, Montreal, curator, Jan. 17.

Re Jean Bte. Caron, furniture dealer.—O. Laurin, Beauharnois, curator, Jan. 22.

Re Octave Cossette, Valleyfield.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, Jan. 18.

Re A. Courtemanche.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, Jan. 23.

Re Daoust & Frère, Montreal.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, Jan. 23.

Re Déchêne & Laberge, St. Roch de Québec.—D. Arcand, Quebec, curator, Jan. 22.

Re Rose Anne O'Gain, St. John's.—J. O'Gain, St. John's, curator, Jan. 21.

Re Louis Doyon, St. François Beauce.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, Jan. 21.

Re Jacob Hurwick, Montreal.—J. McD. Hains, Montreal, curator, Jan. 16.

Re Amédée Lachance, Levis.—D. Arcand, Quebec, curator, Jan. 22.

Re Olivier Lefebvre, St. John's.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, Jan. 21.

Re Montreal Moulding and Mirror Manufacturing Co.—A. F. Riddell, Montreal, liquidator, Jan. 21.

Re C. & N. Vallée, Montreal.—C. Desmar-teau, Montreal, curator, Jan. 23.

Dividends.

Re A. Gravel, Montreal.—First and final dividend, payable Feb. 15, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re Hould & Frère.—First dividend, payable Feb. 4, Bilodeau & Renaud, Montreal, curators.

Re W. L. Mackenzie, Co. Bonaventure.—Second and final dividend, payable Jan. 28, R. Fair, Black Cape, curator.

Re Briton Medical and General Life Association.—Assets to be distributed according to judgment of High Court of Justice, Ontario, of Dec. 11, 1888.

Separation as to Property.

Marie Boisseau *alias* Sanscartier vs. Anthime Daoust, butcher, Montreal, Jan. 15.