ber of pecuniary legacies, including applied. Elliott v. Morris, 485. one to the testator's widow, and, except as to the household property, which was bequeathed to her, the residue of the estate, real and personal, after paying the debts and these legacies, was given to a charity, provision being made for the early conversion into money and distribution of the estate :-

Held, that the widow was not put to her election, but was entitled both to her legacy and to dower.

The will further provided that the widow for her legacy might have the first selection of such securities or real estate as she might think desir-Without making any claim to dower, she joined with her coexecutors in sales and conveyances of parts of the real estate, and selected the remainder of it in part satisfaction of her legacy, and, although not transferred to her, subsequently dealt with such remainder as her own. It was not until after the sales and selection referred to that her right to dower was in any way considered, when she immediately claimed it :-

Held, that, under these circumstances, the residuary legatees not having been prejudiced by her dealings with the lands selected by her, she was not estopped from claiming dower; but was entitled to treat the executors as having received for her use so much of the purchase money of the lands sold as was equal to the value of her dower in them, ascerher were valued at as was equal to burden of the payment of it upon

5. Widow - Legacy - Dower - | the value of her dower in those lands, Election-Estoppel.]-A will provide ascertained in the same way. Bingded for the payment of a large num- ham v. Bingham, 1 Ves. Sen. 126,

> 6. Construction — Devise — Incumbrances - Exoneration-Widow -Dower-Election - Remainder -Acceleration. - By paragraph 3 of his will, made in 1886, the testator, who died in 1895, devised house No. 35, until 1st January, 1890, to his wife, and from and after that to his brother, "his heirs and assigns forever, free from all incumbrances." This property together with house No. 45, which, by paragraph 6, he devised, with other lands, to his wife for life, and after her decease to his brother, his heirs and assigns, subject to certain legacies, was subject at the date of the will to a mortgage for \$1,200, made by the testator, which was subsequently discharged and replaced by a mortgage for \$1,300 on the same lands, which was that subsisting at the date of the death. By paragraph 4 the testator bequeathed to his wife certain leasehold premises held by him at the date of his will. The term, however, expired in his lifetime, and nothing passed to his wife under this paragraph. By paragraph 5 the testator directed his wife to pay off the mortgage for \$1,200, and any other incumbrances upon the property devised by paragraph 3, and declared that the bequests made to the wife by paragraphs 3 and 4 were made to her for that purpose :-

Held, that the effect of the will tained on the same principle as it was to exonerate house No. 35, to would have been had the sale been the extent of the interest in it one made by the Court of the lands devised to the brother, from the free of her dower, and so much of the payment of the proportionate part sum at which the lands selected by of the mortgage, and to cast the

the 1 other share

XXVI

2. 35 w dowe out of ing el of th the w

3. event the w descri and e the sa intere certai for th the c certai He graph

to the 4. by th for he the b it an Gener 7.

conta

terial vise.]vided that : and p expec may c beth, wife this n Hel and a

fee. ing-