Air Transport

consider to be of major and pressing importance. On November 18, the Minister of Transport made the following statement in answer to my question on hijacking and the need for improved security measures. As recorded at page 9675 of *Hansard* for November 18, the minister said:

I do not think it is a breach of secrecy to indicate that detection devices have been installed at a number of airports and that others are on order. As soon as they have been received they will be installed.

This is the purpose of my motion under Standing Order 43 because, notwithstanding what the minister said on November 18, my advice is that no detection devices have been installed at any airports in Canada. This situation calls into question the veracity, and indeed the competence, of the Minister of Transport and the efforts of the government—

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. Would the hon. member resume his seat. The hon. member knows the rules. He cannot take advantage of the Standing Order to make a speech and accusation. He can propose a motion and indicate the urgency for putting the motion. Certainly, the hon. member does not respect the spirit of the rule when he makes a statement such as he has just made.

Mr. McGrath: Mr. Speaker, I was laying the groundwork by pointing out the events related—

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. The hon. member cannot discuss the ruling of the Chair. He should indicate the motion he proposes to put to the House.

Mr. McGrath: With respect, Mr. Speaker, I am not discussing the ruling, but I think I have the right to lay the groundwork for my motion and that is precisely what I have been attempting to do. I want therefore, to ask unanimous consent—hon. members may indeed laugh, but this is a very serious matter—to move the following motion seconded by the hon. member for Peace River (Mr. Baldwin):

That this House direct the Minister of Transport to make a full statement to the House tomorrow spelling out what was done prior to the minister's statement of November 18 and the extent of the use of detection devices and other security programs that will now be employed to prevent air piracy in Canada.

Mr. Speaker: Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: The motion cannot be put.

• (2:20 p.m.)

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

INVESTIGATION OF DELAYS IN PAYMENT OF BENEFITS— REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Jack Marshall (Humber-St. George's-St. Barbe): Mr. Speaker, I rise under Standing Order 43 to ask the unanimous consent of the House to propose the following motion of urgent and pressing necessity.

[Mr. McGrath.]

I move, seconded by the hon. member for Hamilton West (Mr. Alexander):

That this House do initiate a special investigation of delays in the payment of unemployment insurance benefits to be undertaken by the Standing Committee on Labour, Manpower and Immigration, and further, that this committee do meet immediately to commence this investigation, so that a full report might be made to this House upon its return after the recess.

Mr. Speaker: Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: The motion cannot be put.

Mr. Horner: On a point of order, Mr. Speaker. I have listened very closely to the remarks of the hon. member for St. John's East (Mr. McGrath) in which he gravely questioned the word of the hon. Minister of Transport (Mr. Jamieson) given to this House on November 18. He said, in fact, that the Minister's statement was erroneous and gave the minister until tomorrow to clear his name and set the record straight. I agree with the hon. member for St. John's East that the minister should be given until tomorrow. I, therefore, move:

That until tomorrow, and until the record is set straight, this House should stand adjourned.

Mr. Speaker: Has the hon. member a seconder for the motion? Will the hon. member kindly put the motion in writing and I will put it to the House.

An hon. Member: Somebody write it for him.

Mr. Speaker: Mr. Horner, seconded by Mr. Downey, moved that this House—the hon. member did not put the motion in the correct form, so with his permission I will use the traditional form which would be "That this House do now adjourn". The hon. member's suggested motion reads, "I move that this House do now stand adjourned until tomorrow". The traditional form is simply "That this House do now adjourn".

Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the said motion?

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: All those in favour please say yea.

Some hon. Members: Yea.

Mr. Speaker: All those opposed please say nay.

Some hon. Members: Nay.

Mr. Speaker: In my opinion the nays have it.

And more that five members having risen:

Mr. Speaker: Call in the members.

The House divided on the motion. (Mr. Horner) which was negatived on the following division.

YEAS

Messrs:

Alexander Baldwin Beaudoin Bell Bigg Cadieu