

## NAYS

## Messrs:

Allmand,	Douglas	Laniel,	Pringle,
Badanai,	(Assiniboia),	Leblanc (Laurier),	Richardson,
Barrett,	Dubé,	LeBlanc (Rimouski),	Roberts,
Basford,	Duquet,	Lefebvre,	Robinson,
Bécharde,	Énard,	Legault,	Rochon,
Beer,	Forest,	Lessard (LaSalle),	Roy (Laval),
Benson,	Forget,	Lind,	Ryan,
Blair,	Francis,	Macdonald	Serré,
Blouin,	Gendron,	(Rosedale),	Smerchanski,
Borrie,	Gervais,	MacEachen,	Smith
Breau,	Gibson,	MacGuigan,	(Northumberland-
Brown,	Gillespie,	Mackasey,	Miramichi),
Buchanan,	Goode,	McNulty,	Smith (Saint-Jean),
Caccia,	Goyer,	Mahoney,	Stafford,
Cadieux	Gray,	Major,	Stanbury,
(Labelle),	Guay (St. Boniface),	Marceau,	Stewart (Okanagan-
Cantin,	Guilbault,	Marchand	Kootenay),
Chappell,	Harries,	(Kamloops-	St. Pierre,
Chrétien,	Hogarth,	Cariboo),	Sulatycky,
Cobbe,	Honey,	Morison,	Thomas
Comtois,	Hymmen,	Noël,	(Maisonneuve),
Corbin,	Kaplan,	Olson,	Tolmie,
Côté (Richelieu),	Lachance,	Orange,	Trudel,
Crossman,	Laing	Osler,	Turner
Cullen,	(Vancouver	Otto,	(London East),
Cyr,	South),	Ouellet,	Wahn,
Danson,	Lang	Pepin,	Watson,
Deachman,	(Saskatoon-	Perrault,	Weatherhead,
Deakon,	Humboldt),	Pilon,	Whelan,
	Langlois,	Portelance,	Whicher—105.

And the question being put on the main motion, it was agreed to, on division.

Accordingly, the said bill was read the second time, on division, and referred to the Standing Committee on Indian Affairs and Northern Development.

The House resumed debate on the motion of Mr. Chrétien, seconded by Mr. Côté (Longueuil),—That Bill C-152, An Act to amend the National Parks Act, be now read a second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Indian Affairs and Northern Development;

And on the motion of Mr. Lambert (Edmonton West), seconded by Mr. Macquarrie, in amendment thereto,—That all the words after the word "That" be struck out and the following substituted therefor:

"this House holding the opinion that the Bill is repugnant in principle to the Members of this House as well as to the residents of Canada, including those of the townsites of Banff and Jasper National Parks, the persons most nearly affected by the Bill's purpose and intent, and that therefore the government should reconsider the principle of the Bill."

And debate continuing;