TRANSCRIPT A - POERIGE SEC - PC - OTTAVA - 13 PRESUARY 1990

-8-

QUESTION (New York Times):

Fow that the President has come out in favour of unintaining the 225,000 figure and you said this is a position which your country supports, how do you see this deadlack being resolved, do you think that the EATO position will be to simply leave things as they are and count on the Soviets to simply unilaterally have to reduce their troops, do you see EATO making another presentation to President Combachev on this issue, where done this issue go from here?

POREIGY SECRETARY:

The position is that the United States has made a revised proposal. The Soviet Union has countered with a proposal of its own. The President of the United States has held to his original proposal. The Soviet proposal, as you know, offered a choice. I think the matter will so doubt be further discussed, but one stage at a time I think. We are pleased with the stance that the President has taken:

QUESTION:

 $\cdots \otimes \hat{\varphi}_{k_{2}}$

Does that go for the entire Alliance?

PUREIGN SECRETARY:

That I cannot say, that I cannot say. We sere consulted, we were asked for our view by Mr Baker and on his return from Hoscow, very promptly, we were all asked for our view. We were asked for

