

both sides of lightweight paper, the costs of both the paper itself and mailing charges would be proportionately less. Moreover, while files and library shelves at the posts tend now to get cluttered up quickly with piles of official material, no great effort would be required to slip a slim packet of 20 or so double-sided pages in a loose-leaf binder. At year's end the annual volume containing perhaps 250 to 300 pages, complete with index and bound -- one would hope in hard covers -- would not be too bulky an addition to the post library.

Another factor in the cost picture would be, who would be charged for the record, and how much? If the CIIA were to agree to compile a non-official section for the record, "International Canada" would of course go out of existence. There would then be no need to consider Peter Dobell's plea that we at least limit our operation to an official record and distribute it free to the libraries -- this so that "International Canada" would not have a competitor for the scarce dollars of librarians. But if that consideration disappears, is there any reason why we shouldn't charge the libraries so much for the record and offset our production costs? That's a policy matter to which I don't know the answer.

In any event, until decisions of this kind are made it's impossible to arrive at any real estimate of what