

The Toronto World

FOUNDED 1880.
A Morning Newspaper Published Every Day in the Year.

WORLD BUILDING, TORONTO.
Corner James and Richmond Streets.
TELEPHONE CALLS:
Main 5308—Private Exchange Connect-
ing All Departments.

\$8.00
will pay for the daily World for one year delivered in the City of Toronto or by mail to any address in Canada, Great Britain or the United States.

\$2.00
will pay for The Sunday World for one year by mail to any address in Canada or Great Britain. Delivered in Toronto or for sale by all newsdealers and publishers at five cents per copy. Postage extra to United States and all other foreign countries.

MAIN 5308.
In The World's New Telephone Number.

WEDNESDAY MORNING, FEB. 1, 1911.

THE CITY AND SIR JAMES.

Mayor Geary and the board of control should have something to say about Premier Whitney's idea of giving the control of hydro-electric equipment to the municipal and railway board. The city has had some experience with the municipal and railway board, and it has had some experience with the hydro-electric commission, and is in a position to say in which it has most confidence.

We believe the municipal and railway board has done its best under the circumstances, but these circumstances are not such as to justify the proposal to place hydro-electric matters under its control.

When the Toronto Electric Light Co. objects to the city erecting poles or stringing wires, the railway and municipal board is not the court of first resort which the city would choose to rule upon the question. There has been no injustice done to the Toronto Electric Light Co. under the hydro-electric commission.

Neither has there been any injustice done to the city. When both parties are getting justice, there is no good reason for changing the venue.

Ottawa, London and many other places as well as Toronto are concerned about this questionable proposal. The city authorities should make it clear to Sir James what the people think.

DON'T SWAP HORSES.

Sir James Whitney is understood to be a good Conservative, and he will therefore understand the wisdom of the good old proverb about swapping horses when crossing the stream.

There are several other proverbs more or less applicable to the situation, but one will serve. Sir James has been riding the good even-tempered, sound and valuable horse of the hydro-electric commission on the most satisfactory journey any Ontario premier ever traveled.

Apparently he desires to swap this trusted steed for another, that of the municipal and railway board, about which we need say nothing more than that it is not entirely satisfactory to the provincial voters.

The imputation is that Sir James is not a good judge of a horse. Without going as far as that we fall back on the old proverb, which says nothing of the quality of the horses, but dwells on the danger in mid-stream.

What has the hydro-electric commission done? What have Hon. Adam Beck, Hon. J. S. Hendrie, and Mr. W. K. McNaught been guilty that Sir James should accept the advice of Sir Henry Pellatt and his friends, and slight them in this way?

Does Sir James wish the public to understand that he has more confidence in Messrs. Leitch, Ingram and Klittson, than in his two cabinet colleagues and the trusted member for North Toronto, that he takes the risk of swapping horses at this juncture?

We believe Sir James would be well advised to ignore the "interests" who never had and never will have any use for him, and stick to the people who placed him in power, and who kept him in power when the "interests" had him with his back against the wall.

Sir James may well reflect that advice from such a source to swap horses when crossing the stream of the hydro-electric installation is no more friendly than the threats to ruin the credit of Ontario and to upset the Whitney government of a little while ago.

It will be time enough to transfer the control of the equipment and rates to the municipal and railway board when the municipalities that have contracted with the hydro-electric commission have their systems completed and in operation. If Sir Henry Pellatt and the "interests" urge haste the people know, and Sir James must realize, that there is all the more need for delay.

We would hate to see Premier Whitney following the example of Premier Ross.

CITY GOVERNMENT AND BUSINESS.

An excellent example of how business is done under the present council system with its wire-pulling committees is to be found in the handling of the Sunnyside railway crossing construction. After months of consideration the works committee is still considering. We would have seen the date of the crossing completed, and this is certainly not an instance when the committee will fall into error for want of deliberation. There is hope, it is said, of arriving at a decision before Feb. 6.

Business men on a civic government commission with business reputations to lose, would have had this problem settled in the most satisfactory way long ago. The council will settle it, since it has no business reputation to lose, in the least satisfactory way, probably, at the greatest expense, and after the longest delay. The papers who oppose government by commission rejoice over this state of affairs, and the opportunities it affords for their beneficent influences.

The World's plan of having a man who knows his business, the best man to be had for the money, who will determine the city's best interests and settle affairs to that end, is all very well perhaps when it is private matters that are being dealt with. In such a case one has to have the best, and the idea of fumbling along for weeks without doing anything in a private case would be scorned by any of these papers.

Imagine The Telegram laying a private conduit into the lake according to the method it declares to be the only one for the city.

Imagine The Globe developing its real estate thru such a body as the committee of works.

Imagine The Star summoning the board of control in special session to decide the issue of a special edition. These papers declare there is nothing the matter with the system.

There is only one thing the matter with the system. It does not do business.

WARNING TO MILLIONAIRES.

Sir William Mackenzie left \$6000 lying around in Ottawa one day in his private car, while he was paying a visit to the minister of railways. Sir William is so accustomed to millions that he never bothers about his small change. A colored man, however, found the little wad and took two \$500 bills. His moderation was so much in contrast with the standards Sir William is accustomed to that he refused to prosecute him. The incident is a warning to careless millionaires to look after their pocket money.

T. L. Snow writes, quoting our remark: "We are only half-way thru eternity and have still a lot to learn."

He comments as follows: "You have, indeed, my dear sir, if that is your measure of eternity."

If eternity has neither beginning nor end, any point in time must be half way thru eternity.

POLICE COURT CONDITIONS.

Editor World: Two parties deserve credit:

First—"American" for his excellent description of the Toronto police court and the disgraceful manner in which it is conducted.

Second—"The World," as the only paper in the city with the courage to publish such a letter.

More than one man has tried thru the medium of the daily papers to draw attention to this theatrical court of justice, but in reality a combination burlesque show and bear garden in which the man who can shout the loudest apparently gets the best of the show. The World is the only paper that ever published such a letter. "American" remained longer in Toronto, he might have heard rumors as to other matters in connection with the police court, such as real estate, which are terrible, if true. I regret that I cannot congratulate The World further in that not one paper, daily or weekly, in Toronto, appears to have the courage to expose and end forever the present disgraceful manner of conducting trials in the police court.

Jan. 29, 1911.

OUT OF WORK.

Editor World: I am a Toronto man for over twenty years. I have lost an eye, also two fingers. I have worked for the city for four summers, and they put me off and put Italians in my place. I can't get work anywhere on account of my eye and fingers. I would like to let the city know how this town used an unfortunate man like me, so I would kindly ask you to put this in the paper for me.

Is Duke-st.

A CHANCE TO CHANGE.

Kingston Whig: The Toronto World urges Sir James Whitney to reorganize the municipal board. In what manner? By the removal of the chairman, who is a lawyer, and the city authority at questions of law, or the two who are laymen, and, on the street railway appeal, are against the chairman? The World suggests a way out of the difficulty. The government should have for Ontario a public utilities commission, such as New York State possesses. The enlargement of the board would give occasion for changes so that the weakness of the board, as it is at present, will not be further emphasized. Quebec has such a commission, and its members appear to have been carefully selected. It represents a larger field of operation, and promises to be in business, and giving satisfaction, when the Ontario board has passed away and been forgotten.

A PROBLEM FOR MR. McLENNAN.

Editor World: In The World some days since there appeared a letter on higher criticism, as a part of which I would like, by your courtesy, to reply. By the controversy to Rev. G. Jackson of his theology, I am not concerned with your correspondent raising a point which I think is interesting and not without importance. He says that the fact of Jesus having quoted from the Pentateuch settles the question of the Mosaic authorship. He gives a number of quotations from the synoptic gospels in support of his contention. The number of quotations given by your correspondent from the Pentateuch is as follows: Matt. xix. 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100.

not more than six. In none of the quotations from Genesis is the author of the book mentioned—the savings are ascribed by Jesus to God (Matt. xix. 4,

HAS NO SUBSTITUTE

ROYAL

BAKING POWDER

Absolutely Pure

The only baking powder made from Royal Grape Cream of Tartar

NO ALUM, NO LIME PHOSPHATE

Mark x. 6. Nowhere in the New Testament, nor for the matter of that in the Old Testament, is Moses said to be the writer of all "the books of the law."

The only statements actually made by Jesus, as far as I have discovered, on the question, are in Mark xii. 26 (where the "Book of Moses" may, in my opinion, be as reasonably "book about" or "concerning" Moses, as "of" or "by" Moses), and in Luke xxiv. 44, in which he refers to the "law of Moses." I do not think that the fact of Jesus having quoted any book necessarily commits him to an expression of belief in any theory concerning that book. He was concerned with the truth of the quotation more than with the authorship. But there is another, and an equally important point I wish to raise: According to the Twentieth Century New Testament—which will doubtless be known to your correspondent as a translation from what is regarded as one of the best, if not actually the best Greek texts—Westcott and Hort, I find that Jesus quoted or referred to the Book of Enoch some 30 times. While many of these quotations or references are on eschatological questions, not all of them are by any means. For instance, in Matt. xxv. 14 (repeated in Mark xvi. 2, and Luke xxi. 10), He puts Enoch xxvii. and xx. 26-7 on a par with Exodus xx. 13, and John iv. 2 is an echo of Enoch xxxix. 4. In addition to this He quoted from other (so-called) apocryphal books such as the Wisdom of Solomon and the Maccabees. Now, sir, the question which occurs to me is: If the fact of Jesus quoting from the Pentateuch settles the divine inspiration and authority of those books, why may we not also accept His quotations from the Book of Enoch as having similar weight?

REGARDS NOT DOCTORS NOR FEARS MAN.

Editor World: As a woman who has read "Woman's Divine Rights" and thinks it is a wonderful book, I would like to thank you for what you say about its teaching the law of woman—of chastity and relation to the sexes.

No book ever written has revealed this law in such plain language, and I confess, I know of no other book which does this. This should be done now if any such are known to them, if not, then at least the next time we meet, let us default they must be precluded from giving such evidence, subject, of course, to a subsequent application to be allowed to do so on proper material.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

Before Falconbridge, C. J. Rex v. Barber Aspnat C. C. J. Holman, K.C., for plaintiff, D. C. Ross, M.D., for defendant. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action without costs. Order made.

AT OSGOOD HALL

ANNOUNCEMENTS.

Jan. 31, 1911.
The Law Society has contracted with the Canada Law Book Co. to issue a digest of all decisions of the Canadian courts, of the several provinces, and the decisions of the privy council in Canadian cases, for the last ten years, in two volumes, at a cost to members of the society of \$4 per volume in cloth, and \$5 per volume, in half calf.

Motions set down for single court for Wednesday, Feb. 1, at 10 a.m.:
1. Ryan v. Heffernan.
2. Van Ery v. White.
3. Marsden v. Sieber.
4. In re Cottrell Estate.
5. Gaetens v. Neplison, Con. Co.

Peremptory list for divisional court for Wednesday, Feb. 1, at 11 a.m.:
1. Vipond v. Hamilton Street Railway Company.
2. Velich v. Hamilton Street Railway Company.
3. Berliet v. Babcock.
4. Northern Crown Bank v. International Electric Company.
5. Re Leader Estate.

Peremptory list for court of appeal for Wednesday, Feb. 1, at 11 a.m.:
1. Rex v. Lee.
2. Sovereign Bank v. Parsons.
3. Dominion Improvement and Development Co. v. Lally.

Peremptory List, Non-Jury Court, 10 a.m.
40—Stuart v. Hamilton Jockey Club.
41—Berkley v. Peterson Lake.
42—Johnson v. Occident.
43—Northern Crown Bank v. Magee.

Peremptory List, Jury Assizes, 10 a.m.
1—Pryor v. Clifton.
2—Moore v. Murray.
3—Bank of Toronto v. Bior.

Master's Chambers.
Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

Before Cartright, K.C., Master.
Hawes, Gibson & Co. v. Hawes—F. Davidson, K.C., for defendant. F. Arnold, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order dismissing the action for default in production. Order made.

START RIGHT—USE ENSIGN FILMS

Every reasonable exposure on 'Ensigns' will give you bright and clear pictures with fine graduated tone values. Your prints will be crisp, sharp and clear, full of details even to the shadows.

There is no film on the market as good as 'Ensign' made to fit every size and make of roll film camera.

UNITED PHOTO STORES, LIMITED
Branches at Quebec, Montreal, Ottawa.
15 Adelaide St. East, Toronto

Ludwig, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by plaintiff for an injunction. Injunction granted restraining defendant until Wednesday, Feb. 8, from exposing, or for sale or selling shoes known as "The Slater Shoe" or Slater shoe cartons so long as the name or brand "The Slater Shoe" or any other name, brand or mark is on them whereby the said shoes or cartons might or could be identified or known as goods manufactured by or purchased from the plaintiff.

Divisional Court.
Before Mulock, C.J.; Clute, J.; Sutherland, J.
Thompson v. Jeffrey—H. E. Rose, K.C., for defendant. A. G. Slaght (Halifax), for plaintiff. An appeal by defendant from the judgment of Riddell, J., of June 13, 1910. Argument of appeal resumed from yesterday and concluded. Judgment reserved.

Martin v. Beck—F. E. Hodgins, K.C., for defendant. W. A. Finlayson (Midland), for plaintiff. An appeal by defendant from the judgment of Latchford, J., of Nov. 3, 1910. Plaintiff, a master mariner and contractor, sued the defendants, a lumbering company, to recover \$501.88, balance alleged to be due plaintiff for work done for defendants. At trial judgment was awarded plaintiff for the price fixed by