ise-

and

he

375

lin

spi-

the

ts."

ded

iips

op-

ted

rith

ire.

ese ocal

ach

the

ent,

e of

the

ue-

it is

ed.

and

or

are

son

be

rate

/isi-

iere

ow

be validly repealed by one of them, the result must be to leave the Act in full vigor within the other Province. But, in the present case, the legislation of Quebec must necessarily affect the rights and *status* of the corporation as previously existing in the Province of Ontario, as well as the rights and interests of individual corporations in that Province. In addition to that, the fund administered by the corporate Board, under the Act of 1858, is held in perpetuity for the benefit of the ministers and members of a church having its local situation in both Provinces, and the proportion of the fund and its revenues falling to either Province is uncertain and fluctuating, so that it would be impossible for the Legislature of Quebec to appropriate a definite share of the corporate funds to their own Province without trenching on the rights of the corporation in Ontario.

These observations regarding Classes (13) apply with equal force to the arguments of the respondent founded on Classes (7) and (11). Even assuming that the Temporalities Fund might be correctly described as a "charity" or as an "eleemosynary institution," it is not in any sense established, maintained or managed "in or for" the Province of Quebec; and if the Board, incorporated by the Act of 1858, could be held to be a "company" within the meaning of Class (11), its objects are certainly not provincial.

The respondents further maintained that the Legislature of Quebec had power to pass the Act of 1875 in respect of these special circumstances, (1) that the domicile and principal office of the Temporalities Board is in the city of Montreal; and (2) that its funds also are held or invested within the Province of Quebec. These facts are admitted on record by the appellant, but they do not affect the question of legislative power. The domicile of the corporation is merely forensic, and cannot alter its statutory constitution as a Board in and for the Provinces of Upper Canada and Lower Canada, Neither can the accident of its funds being invested in Quebec give the Legislature of that Province authority to change the constitution of a corporation with which it would otherwise have no right to interfere. belonging to a corporation in Ontario are so situated or invested in the Province of Quebec, the Legislature of Quebec may impose direct taxes upon them for provincial purposes, as authorized by Section 92 (2), or may impose conditions upon the transfer or realization of such funds; but that the Quebec Legislature shall have power also to con-