

fully shewn that they may be more readily introduc'd; the *Emperor* having long since declared that He is willing to consent to *their Introduction*, and that he will not consent to the Introduction of *Spaniards*.

But if the *Emperor's* Conduct justifies the Measures of the *Seville* Allies, what have the *States* of the *Empire* done to deserve this Treatment? Why should the Parties to the *Quadruple Alliance* engage, by the Treaty of *Seville*, to introduce *Spanish* Garrisons into their Fields, without their Consent, when the *same Parties* have declared that the *Dominions* in question cannot be dispos'd of *without their Consent*; nay, have engaged Themselves in a Guaranty of this very Provision? If the *Emperor* consents to this *Variation*, as it is call'd, without their Concurrence, He will involve Himself in the Guilt of violating the *Oath*, taken at his Election, and be liable to be divested of the *Imperial* Dignity. The *Imperial Ministers* have declar'd This in very strong Terms in a *Paper*, handed about at *Ratisbon*, in answer to another *Paper*, said to have been written by Monsieur *de Chavigny*, the *French* Minister there; and in *that Paper* They assert that, by a secret Article of the Treaty of *Madrid*, in 1721, between *France*, *Spain* and *England*, the Introduction of *Spanish* Troops was stipulated. If This is true, it is very astonishing; and I hope the *Considerer* will allow that it might give the *Emperor* some little *Pretence* to complain of *our Conduct*, whilst He looked upon us as his Friend, and We were acting the Part of a *Mediator*. But certain it is that, in the Year 1721, a *defensive Treaty* was made between those three Powers (besides the *Treaty of Commerce* between *Spain* and *England*) and the Number of Troops to be furnish'd