The WITNESS: I do not think they would; we are perfectly prepared to give it.

give it. Q. They have given us certain data on the point already.—A. In 1930 the relationship is in proportion to the figure of seven million odd on the exhibit as against nineteen million.

By Mr. Bothwell:

Q. That is nineteen million from outside?—A. No, nineteen millions altogether.

Q. Nineteen millions altogether?—A. Of which in Canada the figure was shown on exhibit No. 3 \$7,215,291.62. That is the amount of money that was made in Canada.

By Mr. Hanson:

Q. Out of a total of nineteen millions?—A. Yes.

By Mr. Bothwell:

Q. So that when the Imperial Oil representative told us that sixty per cent of their dividends were made outside of Canada, that statement is hardly correct.

Mr. HANSON: They were too conservative on that.

The WITNESS: I cannot answer for the company's evidence. I am giving you the facts, Mr. Bothwell.

By Mr. Bothwell:

Q. You continue there and you say in 1930 the return to the Imperial Oil on their investments at cost which they could very easily have written up, was 64 per cent on the marketing and refining over this country. I wish you would explain that statement, how you arrive at it.—A. Yes. Taking the balance sheet of the Imperial Oil Limited, as a whole, including investments in affiliated companies, that was broken down so as to show the net assets applicable to their business in Canada. That is the property value and the value of the working capital employed in refining and marketing in Canada; and against that was applied the profits that they made on that, bearing in mind that the figure so arrived at includes the reinvestment of profits on marketing and refining investment left in the business up to date.

Q. I should like you to give us the figures that you used in order to arrive at that $6\frac{1}{4}$ per cent. What is included in the assets in Canada?—A. I can give you that quite easily. To what extent do you want the details? It is the value first of fixed properties.

Q. I want all the details showing how you arrived at that figure?—A. We could file a schedule on that. We can supply a schedule—a detailed schedule on it, or we can tell you now and you can have the schedule for verification along with other things we had here.

Q. If the schedule is put in it would be best to have it filed. In arriving at that 64 per cent I think you said that you figured that on the earnings of the company which have been left in the business or reinvested?—A. Reinvested in the marketing and refinery properties in Canada.

Mr. HANSON: Plus capital stock already in?

The WITNESS: Yes. In other words a statement of assets and liabilities of the company in Canada on its refining and marketing.

By Mr. Bothwell:

Q. In arriving at those assets, I presume you included their physical assets? —A. At book cost.

Q. That is the refineries and the service stations and so on?—A. Less depreciation. So the assets are depreciated.