

have been accustomed to reside, and to carry on any article of traffic? Is it the erection of three or four miserable cottages on any particular part of the shore of Nova Scotia, or Labrador, that constitutes its being "settled?" I confess I am more alarmed at the darkness and ambiguity of this clause, than at any of those where the destructive tendency is more defined, and the ruin more clearly ascertained.

I am sorry to find no other trace of liberality in your Lordship's conduct, except where it is exerted at the expence of the dismembered empire. To Spain you have been liberal even to prodigality. Did you hope, my Lord, to *gain* the Most Catholic King by this unexampled profusion? Did you intend to detach the younger branch of the House of Bourbon from the elder, by so partial and distinguishing a predilection? Or was it from any profound political aim inscrutable to common minds, that you thus wantonly added the cession of East Florida to the acquisition of West Florida, already made by the arms of Spain? Was it not enough to abandon the unhappy Loyalists, to
 deliver