

"left money at various times, and still unclaimed.  
 "As this proceeding will not only entail time  
 "and trouble, but expense as well, it would be  
 "advisable for the parties interested to subscribe  
 "the sum of Twenty-five Pounds sterling, for the  
 "purpose of tracing and finding out the evidence  
 "before stated; the first step being to find out  
 "who Thomas Hyde was. The connection with  
 "the Keate family is of no use to us; the money  
 "was left by the Hyde Family, and we must  
 "trace out Thomas Hyde's family in England  
 "and connect it with the parties who have left  
 "the money.

"I have clearly ascertained that Mary Keate  
 "was the widow of Jonathan Hoo Keate, who  
 "was brother to Sir William Keate, Baronet, I  
 "also conclude that Jonathan H. Keate and Tho-  
 "mas Hyde married sisters; this is the only con-  
 "nection I can ascertain at present that existed  
 "between the Hydes and Keates. The maiden  
 "names of the sisters can only be obtained from  
 "their Register of Marriage.

I am, Sir,

Your obedient servant,

WM. CULLMER.

Thomas A. James, Esq.

---

HALIFAX, N. S. (CANADA,)

Nov. 12th, 1877.

WM. CULLMER, Esq.

*Dear Sir,*—Your letter of the 23rd of October,  
 with Index, was safely received with thanks.  
 Respecting the Twenty-five pounds sterling that  
 you require from us to find out who Thomas