

include 23 Anglophone countries and 28 Francophone countries.

2. Yes. CIDA—Service contracts—April 1, 1977 to December 31, 1983

% per province:	3.9	Alberta
	9.1	British Columbia
	3.0	Manitoba
	2.4	New Brunswick
	0 (\$124,977.)	Newfoundland
	0.6	Nova Scotia
	34.8	Ontario
	0 (\$343,825.)	Prince Edward Island
	45.4	Quebec
	8	Saskatchewan

CIDA—Goods contracts—April 1, 1977 to March 31, 1983

% per province:	6	Alberta
	3	British Columbia
	2	Manitoba
	1	New Brunswick
	0 (\$1.2 M)	Newfoundland
	5	Nova Scotia
	47	Ontario
	0 (\$30,000.)	Prince Edward Island
	34	Quebec
	2	Saskatchewan

BEAUFORT SEA COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Question No. 631—Mr. Mazankowski:

1. Is there a Beaufort Sea Community Advisory Committee and, if so, what (a) are its terms of reference (b) is the (i) name (ii) background or expertise (iii) term of appointment of each member (c) remuneration, such as salary or travel expenses (i) do the members receive (ii) did the members receive to date (d) other funding, if any, is provided by the Government?

2. During the fiscal year 1982-83, did the Committee meet and, if so (a) how often (b) how often is it required to meet (c) what matters did it consider (d) what reports and/or recommendations were published?

Hon. John C. Munro (Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development): The Beaufort Sea Community Advisory Committee was organized in 1976 by Dome Petroleum Limited in order to provide an effective communication link between the industry operator and the affected communities. The communities of Aklavik, Inuvik, Tuktoyaktuk, Paulatuk, Holman, Sacks Harbour, each named two members to the committee. The members of the committee were northerners of wide experience within their communities and knowledgeable about local concerns. During its effective life 1976-1983, the committee was supported by the Dome Petroleum/Canadian Marine group and no government funds were provided for remuneration or travel. The funding provided by the company enabled the group to visit other countries and to investigate industry practices in Alaska, the North Sea, and other areas in order that the Beaufort Sea operations could be better assessed. The committee operated as an independent body setting its own meetings and agendas.

In the fall of 1983, the Beaufort Sea Community Advisory Committee disbanded as other more broadly-based initiatives such as the Development Impact Zone Societies sponsored by the Government of the Northwest Territories seemed to limit the effectiveness of the committee.

Order Paper Questions

EXEMPT STAFF OF SECRETARY OF STATE

Question No. 720—Mr. Hnatyshyn:

1. Are any exempt staff employed by the Department of the Secretary of State and, if so, what are their (a) titles (b) positions (c) names?

2. Do any of the staff mentioned in part 1 receive income, financial assistance or other benefits related to their employment from sources other than the ministerial budget and, if so (a) which members (b) what are the details of the income, financial assistance or other benefits?

Hon. Serge Joyal (Secretary of State): No. The Secretary of State employs exempt staff as follows:

1.	(a) and (b)	(c)
	Executive Assistant	Saint-Onge, Maurice
	Special Assistant	Monast, Madeleine
	Special Assistant	Meilleur, Denise
	Special Assistant	Laporte, François
	Special Assistant	Pilon, Danièle
	Special Assistant	O'Sullivan, John
	Private Secretary	Borsanyi, Francine

2. No.

* * *

[Translation]

QUESTION PASSED AS ORDER FOR RETURN

Mr. John Evans (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, if question No. 292 could be made an order for return, that return would be tabled immediately.

[Text]

JULY 1 CELEBRATIONS

Question No. 292—Mr. Munro (Esquimalt-Saanich):

1. By Province, what public funds from the Consolidated Revenue Fund were allocated for events of one kind or another in celebration of July 1, 1983?

2. What agency of Government made provision in the budget to have these funds made available?

3. Of the amount allocated to British Columbia, what distribution was made, by event and by locality?

4. Who, or what agency, in each locality requested funds to mark each of the events listed in the answer to part 3 and, in the case of an agency, who requested the funds?

5. What procedures are in place to account for the expenditures made under this budgetary item?

Return tabled.

[Translation]

Mr. Evans: I ask, Mr. Speaker, that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

Mr. Speaker: The questions enumerated by the Parliamentary Secretary have been answered. Shall the remaining questions stand?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.