

Inquiries of the Ministry

makes it difficult for the Chair to hear the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): I rise on a point of order, Mr. Speaker. In view of the many references during the question period to the communiqué the Prime Minister tabled today, I wonder whether the House would agree that it be made an appendix to today's *Hansard*?

Mr. Speaker: Is it agreed?

[Translation]

Mr. Gilbert Rondeau (Shefford): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a question of privilege.

Mr. Speaker: Does the hon. member for Shefford rise on a question of privilege?

Mr. Rondeau: Yes, Mr. Speaker. I would like to make a correction on page 9018 of yesterday's *Hansard*.

Mr. Speaker: Order. A correction cannot be made in *Hansard* by way of a question of privilege. All the hon. members know that a correction in *Hansard* is usually made directly through the officials concerned and when it is an important correction, it must be made on motions. The hon. member can ask the unanimous consent of the House to revert to motions. Does the House agree?

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member might make that correction tomorrow on motions. I think it is extremely important, because during the debate—

Mr. Rondeau: Mr. Speaker, according to *Hansard*—

Mr. Speaker: Order. I indicated to the hon. member that such a change should normally be requested on motions. If the change is important, he should make it tomorrow on motions.

Mr. Gérard Laprise (Abitibi): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a question of privilege.

Mr. Speaker: Is the hon. member for Abitibi rising on a question of privilege?

Mr. Laprise: Yes, Mr. Speaker.

During the debate, while the hon. member for Shefford (Mr. Rondeau) was speaking, the hon. member for Laurier (Mr. Leblanc), from the seat where the hon. member for Louis-Hébert (Mr. Cantin) usually sits, rose on a point of order for the sole purpose of having it reported in *Hansard* that the hon. member for Louis-Hébert was out of the House at the time. That is the correction we wanted made, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker: Order. Will the hon. member please resume his seat. It seems to me that we have spent the last ten minutes of the time of the House going over points of order and questions of privilege. I feel that these ten minutes could be put to better use.

[English]

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, you will have to admit there has been some confusion today. I did not understand what happened to my request

[Mr. Speaker.]

that the communiqué be made an appendix to today's *Hansard*. Was that agreed to?

Mr. MacInnis: No, the Prime Minister turned you down.

Mr. Speaker: It is my understanding that the response to the hon. member was negative. I might ask again if there is consent.

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: Perhaps the matter might be considered after the House has gone into committee. The suggestion made by the hon. member is that the communiqué be made an appendix to *Hansard*.

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: As the hon. member has heard, there seems to be some opposition and I think at this stage there might be some communication made directly—

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. Perhaps hon. members might allow the Chair to complete the remarks I am trying to make in response to the point of order raised after two questions of privilege. The hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre might consider communicating directly with the government House leader to determine whether his suggestion might be put in a more formal way tomorrow.

Mr. MacInnis: Mr. Speaker, on a question of privilege, when the discussion was taking place as to who was responsible for the refusal to allow the communiqué to be made an appendix to *Hansard* the hon. member for Calgary South indicated it was the Conservatives. There is no question that it was the Prime Minister who made the first refusal.

Mr. Speaker: Orders of the day. Order, please. I believe the hon. member for Timiskaming is rising on a point of order.

Mr. Peters: On a point of information, Mr. Speaker, I should like to inquire of Your Honour whether, when a request is made for the addition to *Hansard* of a communiqué or document that has been tabled, unanimous agreement is necessary before this can take place?

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member is asking for a ruling from the Chair in abstract. The Chair cannot, of course, be called upon to make that kind of ruling. Orders of the day.

• (3:00 p.m.)

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

INCOME TAX ACT

The House resumed, from Monday, October 25, consideration in committee of Bill C-259, to amend the Income