

inconsistent with a decision taken previously. I suggest to the House that this is exactly what the House would be doing if it were allowed to adopt clauses 2, 3, 4 and 8 which are exact repetitions of the clauses in Bill C-193 which was defeated on third reading.

For all these reasons my suggestion would be that Bill C-207 should be withdrawn and a new measure introduced which would take into account the fact that there should be no contradiction between the new Bill and the decision taken previously.

I should like to bring to the attention of the House a citation from May's 17th edition, page 522, which is as follows: "If it should become necessary, before the second reading of a bill, to make considerable changes in its provisions, such changes can only be accomplished, at this stage, by discharging the order for the second reading and withdrawing the bill."

I should bring also to the attention of honourable Members that in my view this does not invalidate the Ways and Means Resolution which was adopted last Friday. A new bill based on that resolution and containing clauses, which in my view are acceptable, and not in contradiction to the principle of the decision on the former Bill can be submitted readily to the House, introduced and considered for first reading.

I therefore consider that an Order of the House should issue for the withdrawal of the Bill now before the House so that the government may have an opportunity to introduce a new measure.

---

The Order being read for the second reading of Bill C-207, an Act to amend the Income Tax Act;

Mr. Sharp, seconded by Mr. MacEachen moved,—That the said Order be discharged and the Bill withdrawn.

And the question being put on the said motion, it was agreed to.

By unanimous consent, the House reverted to "Introduction of Bills".

Mr. Sharp, seconded by Mr. MacEachen, by leave of the House, presented Bill C-208, An Act to amend the Income Tax Act, based on the Ways and Means Resolution adopted March 8, 1968, which was read the first time, on division, and ordered for a second reading at the next sitting of the House.

The House resolved itself again into Committee of Supply and progress having been made and reported, the Committee obtained leave to sit again at the next sitting of the House.

By unanimous consent, the House reverted to "Motions".

On motion of Mr. McNulty, seconded by Mrs. Rideout, it was ordered,—That the name of Mr. Howard be substituted for that of Mr. Schreyer on the Standing Committee on Privileges and Elections.