tablished religion; in all that had been Scotch, the Church of Scotland. This principle of per- the general principle is involved in the considerafeet equality was fenced in with provisions that I tion of the particular case—they stand or fall toneed not rehearse, incorporating it, in the very body of the monarchy, and clearly showing the jealous care of our ancestors for its permanency. In the regulations adopted the words on the one hand are "the kingdom of England and territories thereunto belonging," and on the other "the king-dom of Scotland." Does any one ask whence this diversity in the wording of the clauses ?-the answer is plain, we possessed no other territories than Scotland. Had we done so, the principle of equality of rights, regulating the compact throughout, must have rendered the phrase-are of opinion that the provisions made by 51 ology in both cases the same.

acquisition of new territories by the two king- clergy of the church of England, but may be exdoms, when united. Any legislation on such a tended also to clergy of the church of Scotland, or Scotsman. Taking this rule for our guide, of perfect equality. archy endures.

by the authority of which certain lands in Canada were set apart for "the support and maintenance of a Protestant clergy." What clergy is to be their disposal, for this purpose, will allow." understood as thus by law designated? We hold, that, as by a "Protestant clergy," in England and its peculiar territories, the law understands the clergy of the church of England, in Scotland, the clergy of the church of Scotland, so, in Canada, a a colony acquired by the united arms of both, the clergy of both are equally comprehended. short, we have held the particular right of sharing in the proceeds of these reserves, to be directly seof the Empire, entitled to the same privileges as | English and Scotch churches.

English, the church of England should be the es-lour brethren of England and meeting them on a footing of perfect equality. The soundness of gether.

We have not been captious debaters. We have ever urged this view, decisive at once of the question, upon the consideration of all entitled to deliberate or decide on it. The correctness of it has been, by them, fully acknowledged. I need not again martial, in the pages of the Christian Examiner, the host of authorities, which might be brought forward in support of what I advance. ! shall rest contented with citing one or two. First, the opinion of the Crown Lawyers in 1819:-" we George III. for the support and maintenance of a No precise provision is made for the case of the Protestant clergy, are not confined solely to the mere contingency would have been premature, and, Ist there are any such settled in Canada, as appears on such a case occurring, might not have suited to have been admitted in the debate upon the passthe actual circumstances of it. It was sufficient ing of the act." Secondly, extract of memorial to establish the guiding rule, that there be "a from the committee of the General Assembly of communication of all rights privileges or advan-the church of Scotland on churches in the colonies. tages" that do or may belong to either Englishman addressed to Lord Glenelg, as principal secretary of state for the colonies :- "The memorialists the conviction is forced on us, that, in this Pro-beg leave to repeat the assertion of a prinvince, acquired by the united arms of both king-leiple which they apprehend cannot be condoms, Scotsman and Englishman meet, as in all troverted viz. That by the treaty of union, other points, so in religious matters, on a footing the ministers, and other members of the church of Our right to this equality of Scotland are entitled, in every colony settled or rank we hold to be one, from the possession of acquired since the year 1706, to be put on a perfect which, springing as it does from the fundamental equality in all respects with those of the church of laws of the monarchy, we cannot, by any circum-England, in proportion to the number belonging stances, be permanently excluded, while that mon-frespectively to each denomination." Answer by Sir George Grey, 31st May, 1839. "His Ma-There is another right which we derived from jesty's Government see no reason to dissent positive statute, from the act of the 31 George III. from the general principle asserted by the memorialists. They are desirous of giving to it the fullest practical operation, which the means at

Mr. Morris has taken the pains, by a plentiful collection of instances, to show what is the deliberate opinion of all in the Empire, qualified to judge in the case, and under whose consideration I may quote from his "reit has been brought. ply" the names of the Marquis of Lansdown, the In Earl of Haddington, the Earl of Eldon, Mr. Wilmot Horton, James Stephen Junr. now one of the under secretaries of state, the committee of the derived to us from the more general right just | House of Commons in 1828. Among all these, stated. We maintain, that, in Canada, we are and others there quoted, there is no question that constitutionally to be held, not as dissenters from the legal and constitutional acceptation of the "the church of the empire," but as one of the church- lterm Protestant clergy comprehends alike the