

the secretary's consequent confession as if it had been wrung from him on the rack. Most persons will agree with Park, B., that the rule "has gone quite too far, and that justice and common sense have too frequently been sacrificed at the shrine of mercy." The time is past when rules of law could be defended on the bare ground of precedent.—*Law Quarterly.*

Correspondence.

THE LAW SOCIETY.

To the Editor of THE CANADA LAW JOURNAL :

SIR,—Twenty-six years have now elapsed since the Province of Upper Canada exchanged its name for that of "Ontario," and yet to this day the Law Society of this Province still retains its original name of "The Law Society of Upper Canada." As a body corporate it is obliged to retain the name by which it is incorporated until it has obtained the sanction of the Legislature to make a change; but is it not about time that the Legislature should be asked to authorize a change of name, so that the Society may hereafter be known by the name of "The Law Society of Ontario," which it actually is, and not by the name of the Law Society of a place which has no longer any legal or geographical existence?

The preservation of the old title of "Upper Canada" is confusing to outsiders, and it seems to me high time that it was laid aside.

JUNIOR.

BENCH AND BAR.

To the Editor of THE CANADA LAW JOURNAL :

SIR,—There are at the present time three judges of the High Court who are resident out of Toronto. This fact often results in much inconvenience to those who have business before them. A high legal functionary recently observed, as to one of them, that the effort to do business with him was like trying to do business with a comet. They appear suddenly on the scene, and as suddenly disappear. They seem to assume that as soon as they