

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE. |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| PREFACE | iii |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | v |
| CANADIAN AND ENGLISH CASES CITED..... | x |

CHAPTER I.

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| Text of the Act..... | 1 |
|----------------------|---|

CHAPTER II.

| | |
|---|----|
| History and Principle of New Law and its Application in other Countries | 10 |
| Report of Commission..... | 10 |
| <i>Law Before the Act</i> | 11 |
| Fault had to be Proved..... | 11 |
| Things under One's Care..... | 12 |
| Employer's Duty to Protect Workman..... | 13 |
| Plaintiff's Fault Sole Cause of Accident..... | 15 |
| Common Fault..... | 16 |
| Civil Law more Favourable than English Law to Workman..... | 17 |
| Employers' Liability Act in England..... | 18 |
| This Act Copied in Other Countries..... | 19 |
| Contributory Negligence..... | 20 |
| Tendency of Recent Cases in Quebec..... | 21 |
| <i>Theory of "Professional Risk"</i> | 22 |
| Proportion of Accidents which are Inevitable..... | 24 |
| Not Intended to Penalize Employers..... | 25 |
| Mr. Asquith's Definition of Professional Risk..... | 25 |
| Mr. Chamberlain's Advocacy of the Principle..... | 26 |
| <i>Principle of Professional Risk in Other Countries</i> | 27 |
| France | 27 |
| Great Britain and Ireland..... | 28 |
| Other European Countries..... | 29 |
| British Dominions not including Canada..... | 30 |
| Canada | 30 |
| United States..... | 31 |