

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE IN THE CASE OF

LIEUT. CAMILL WILLIAM KNOX
and Officer of the 2nd Cdn Field Regt
Cdn Army Overseas

"I" 31
Clyde Kelcey
Eng.
W. B. Bald
Capt
Judge Advocate

FIRST WITNESS

Lieut. L.F. Van Gorder, 2nd Cdn Field Regiment Cdn Army Overseas having been duly sworn states:

"I am Regimental Orderly Officer of the 2nd Cdn Field Regiment R.C.A. On the night of Sep 3, 1942 at approximately 1800 hrs, I had occasion to look for Lieut. C.W. Knox the accused and could not locate him at Laurelhurst, R.H.Q. building. While looking for Mr. Knox, I found that Q 1 W.D. Veh No CM202187 was missing from its usual standing and on checking with the Guard, I found that it had gone out with an Officer."

L.F. Van Gorder
.....
Lieut. L.F. Van Gorder

The Accused declines to question the witness.

SECOND WITNESS

Lieut. A. Maw, 2nd Cdn Field Regiment, Canadian Army (Overseas) having been duly sworn states:

"I am Acting Quartermaster, 2nd Cdn Field Regiment C.A.O. On the afternoon of Sep 3, 1942, I used my vehicle, Q 1 W.D. No CM202187 in the Regimental area between 1630 hrs and 1730 hrs. At about 1730 hrs I told Blac67 Cnr. Gunn, F. the driver of the vehicle that the vehicle would not be required again that day. At about 1830 hrs Lieut. L.F. Van Gorder told me my vehicle, Q 1 was missing. I told him it was not out on my instructions.

At about 0030 hrs, 4 Sep 42, on instructions from the Adjt 2nd Cdn Field Regiment, I phoned the Duty Officer at 1st Cdn Div Arty H.Q. as I was unable to contact the D.A.P.M. and informed him that my vehicle Q 1 and Lieut. C.W. Knox were missing.

At about 0200 hrs 4 Sep 42, the D.A.P.M. called again and asked for an officer to proceed to the R.C.M.P. at Brighton and pick up Lieut. C.W. Knox. I went to find a driver and vehicle and found Cnr. Gunn and Q 1, who had just returned. I took the vehicle to the R.C.M.P.s at Brighton where I found Lieut. Knox whom I recognize as the accused and brought him back with me arriving back at 0555 hrs 4 Sep 42.

A. Maw Lt.
.....
Lt. A. Maw

The Accused declines to question the witness.

42