3. The proposals and evaluation procedures are not confidential. The solar programs office have a view to educating the Canadian solar design and engineering community.

The general designs and cost estimates of these projects as well as all other PUSH funded projects will be available to the public as part of the information/publication strategy.

PURCHASE AND USE OF SOLAR HEATING PROGRAM (PUSH)

Question No. 1,313—Mr. Schellenberger:

- 1. Since the inception of PUSH (Purchase and Use of Solar Heating) program, what amount of the \$125 million initially allocated has been spent to date?
- 2. How many purchases of solar space and water-heating equipment were made by the government under PUSH and, in each case (a) what was the dollar amount (b) on what date was it made (c) what type of equipment was purchased and where was it installed?

Hon. Paul J. Cosgrove (Minister of Public Works): 1. \$2,376,000.

2. The following purchases of equipment were made under the PUSH program:

(a) \$25,400.00	(b) October 1979	(c) Domestic Hot Water and Space Heating Manager's Residence Gunton, Manitoba
\$12,900.00	November 1979	Domestic Hot Water and Space Heating Office and Maintenance Building Haliburton, Ontario
\$ 4,000.00	November 1979	Domestic Hot Water Rideau Canal Bldgs. 3 Bldgs. Ontario

The above projects are complete. The following projects are still underway:

(a)	(b)	(c)
\$23,000.00	March 1980	Solar Panels Petawawa Ntl. Forestry Institute Petawawa, Ontario
\$ 8,540.00	April 1980	Domestic Hot Water CEIC Bldg. Sherbrooke, Québec
\$12,300.00	April 1980	Domestic Hot Water Côté Bldg. St-Jean, Québec
\$14,000.00	April 1980	Domestic Hot Water Federal Bldg. Granby, Ouébec
\$14,000.00	April 1980	Domestic Hot Water Federal Bldg. Drummondville, Québec

PRINCESS PATRICIA REGIMENT—ALBERTA

Question No. 1,318—Mr. Cossitt:

- 1. Are the Princess Patricias stationed in Alberta and, if so, in what location?
- 2. Are members of the regiment drawing welfare payments or have any of them ever done so and, if so (a) how many and what are/were the ranks involved (b) are/were they drawing such payments because of the high rentals being charged to them with a result that they have little left for food, etc. and, if not, what are/were the reasons (c) will or has the government taken steps to correct the situation and (i) if so, what are/were they (ii) if not, for what reason?

Hon. Ursula Appolloni (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of National Defence): 1. Yes, Calgary.

2. (a) (b) (c) The Department of National Defence is not aware of any members of the regiment who are or ever were drawing Alberta's social allowance.

CANADIAN FILM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Question No. 1,320—Mr. Friesen:

- 1. What was the Canadian Film Development Corporation's advertising budget for 1979?
 - 2. What is the projected advertising budget for 1980?
- 3. What amount did CFDC spend on (a) advertising (b) entertainment and accomodation at the Cannes Film Festival in (i) 1979 (ii) 1980?

Hon. Francis Fox (Secretary of State): I am informed by the Canadian Film Development Corporation as follows:

- 1. In 1979-80, the Canadian Film Development Corporation spent \$54,208 on advertising.
 - 2. The CFDC's advertising budget for 1980-81 is \$50,000.
 - 3. In 1979-80: \$198,000 In 1980-81: \$220,000

(including transportation, accommodation, promotional and advertising expenses—in other words, all expenses incurred for the Cannes Festival before, during and after the event).

NATIONAL DEBT

Question No. 1,331—Mr. Cossitt:

- 1. What is the per capita national debt in Canada?
- 2. In proportion to the population, is Canada's deficit approximately double that of the United States and, if not, how does it compare with the United States?
- 3. What percentage of the annual expenditures in the current fiscal year will be consumed by interest payments on the national debt?

Hon. Pierre Bussières (Minister of State (Finance)): 1. The term "national debt" has been interpreted as the public debt of the federal government in the response to this inquiry. The federal government's public debt is recorded on both a gross and net basis with the difference being the net recorded assets of the federal government. The per capita gross debt was \$4,169 as of March 31, 1979, and is estimated to have been \$4,479 as of March 31, 1980. The per capita net debt was \$2,360 of March 31, 1979, and is estimated to have been \$2,818 as of March 31, 1980. As a percentage of GNP the gross debt has been reduced from 55.7 per cent in 1962 to 40.7 per cent as of March 31, 1980. Similarly, the net debt has