

1973-74 Importation Year	Province	Applications Received	Order Paper Questions		
			A.I. Units	Private Parties	Permits Issued
					Total
	Newfoundland	1	—	1	1
	Prince Edward Island	20	—	6	6
	Nova Scotia	39	1	9	10
	New Brunswick	27	2	4	6
	Quebec	34	4	15	19
	Ontario	146	5	58	63
	Manitoba	248	—	66	66
	Saskatchewan	512	—	187	187
	Alberta	1,128	5	370	375
	British Columbia	68	3	30	33
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2,223</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>746</b>	<b>766</b>

  

1974-75 Importation Year	Province	Applications Received	Permits Issued		
			A.I. Units	Private Parties	Total
	Newfoundland	—	—	—	—
	Prince Edward Island	14	—	7	7
	Nova Scotia	23	2	11	13
	New Brunswick	31	2	8	10
	Quebec	53	3	20	23
	Ontario	259	3	75	78
	Manitoba	348	—	63	63
	Saskatchewan	1,007	2	218	220
	Alberta	2,160	1	412	413
	British Columbia	143	1	26	27
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,038</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>840</b>	<b>854</b>

2. Project proposals are assessed and rated anonymously by an Advisory Genetic Review Committee (consisting of two departmental and two university members) which takes into consideration the applicant's cattle inventory, his past, present and future breeding program commitments, the probability of achieving his stated objectives and the genetic merit of his proposal in terms of potential for viable herd development leading to improvement to the breed and the cattle industry.

#### NUMBER OF FEEDER CATTLE SHIPPED TO ONTARIO FROM WESTERN CANADA

##### Question No. 1,107—Mr. Mitges:

What was the number of feeder cattle shipped to Ontario from Western Canada in (a) 1971 (b) 1972 (c) 1973 (d) 1974 to date and, of these, how many died en route in each year?

**Hon. E. F. Whelan (Minister of Agriculture):**

Year	Cattle
1971	50,904
1972	80,177
1973	62,682
Jan.-Nov. 1974	98,901
Deaths of feeder cattle in transit are not recorded.	

#### POLLUTION—RAW SEWAGE, ST. LAWRENCE AND THE GREAT LAKES

##### Question No. 1,155—Mr. Cossitt:

1. What steps is the government taking to prevent large vessels (other than private pleasure craft) from dumping their raw sewage in the St. Lawrence River and the Great Lakes?

2. Will the government take immediate steps to make such vessels, including foreign ships using the water system, install containment tanks for sewage and (a) if so, when and by what date will such equipment be mandatory (b) if not, what are all the reasons for such government delay?

3. (a) What is the result of all talks held on this subject with the Ontario Government (b) did the province propose a two year period for installation of containment equipment and, if the government has not accepted this proposal, what are all the reasons for non-acceptance?

4. Did the Minister of the Environment discuss this matter with her provincial counterpart and, if so, on what date and with what results?

**Hon. Mitchell Sharp (President of the Privy Council):** I am informed by the Departments of Transport and of the Environment as follows: 1. A fourth draft of a proposed ship's sewage pollution prevention regulation for the Great Lakes and St. Lawrence River as far as the St. Lambert Lock will be circulated to all interested parties for comment early in 1975. It is anticipated that it will be possible to promulgate this regulation late in 1975.