

Wednesday, 25th July, 1866.

And the Question on the amendment being again proposed,

Mr. *Mackenzie* moved, in amendment to the said proposed amendment, seconded by Mr. *Haultain*, that the words "item of \$500,000 for the completion of the Parliament and Departmental Buildings at *Ottawa*, be reduced to \$300,000, this House being of opinion "that \$2,500,000 having already been expended by *Canada* alone, for the Parliamentary "and Departmental Buildings, all additional expenditure should be made out of the "revenue of the future Confederated Provinces," be left out, and the words "it is not "desirable or necessary to expend so large a sum on the Public Buildings, and that the "amount be reduced to \$400,000" inserted instead thereof.

And the Question being put on the amendment to the said proposed amendment; the House divided: and the names being called for, they were taken down, as follow:—

YEAS:

Messieurs

<i>Bowman,</i>	<i>Gaudet,</i>	<i>Magill,</i>	<i>Pouliot,</i>
<i>Brown,</i>	<i>Haultain,</i>	<i>McGiverin,</i>	<i>Ross (Prince Edward),</i>
<i>Caron,</i>	<i>Holton,</i>	<i>McKellar,</i>	<i>Rymal,</i>
<i>Coupal,</i>	<i>Houde,</i>	<i>O'Halloran,</i>	<i>Scatcherd,</i>
<i>Cowan,</i>	<i>Laframboise,</i>	<i>Oliver,</i>	<i>Stirton,</i>
<i>Dorion (Drum. & Art.)</i>	<i>Lajoie,</i>	<i>Pâquet,</i>	<i>Thibaudeau,</i>
<i>Dorion (Hochelaga),</i>	<i>Macdonald (Gleng'ry),</i>	<i>Parker,</i>	<i>Tremblay,</i>
<i>Dufresne (Iberville),</i>	<i>Macfarlane,</i>	<i>Ferrault,</i>	<i>Wells and</i>
<i>Fortier,</i>	<i>Mackenzie,</i>	<i>Pinsonneault,</i>	<i>Wright (E. York).—37.</i>
<i>Gagnon,</i>			

NAYS:

Messieurs

<i>Archambeault,</i>	<i>Cockburn,</i>	<i>Harwood,</i>	<i>Powell,</i>
<i>Ault,</i>	<i>Cornellier,</i>	<i>Higginson,</i>	<i>Rankin,</i>
<i>Bell,</i>	<i>Currier,</i>	<i>Howland,</i>	<i>Raymond,</i>
<i>Bellerose,</i>	<i>De Boucherville,</i>	<i>Huot,</i>	<i>Robitaille,</i>
<i>Biggar,</i>	<i>Denis,</i>	<i>Jones (N. L'Isle & Gren.)</i>	<i>Ross (Champlain),</i>
<i>Blanchet,</i>	<i>De Niverville,</i>	<i>Jones (South Leeds),</i>	<i>Ross (Dundas),</i>
<i>Bown,</i>	<i>Dickson,</i>	<i>McConkey,</i>	<i>Shanly,</i>
<i>Brousseau,</i>	<i>Duckett,</i>	<i>McDougall,</i>	<i>Smith (Toronto East),</i>
<i>Burwell,</i>	<i>Dufresne (Montcalm),</i>	<i>McGee,</i>	<i>Somerville,</i>
<i>Cameron (N. Ontario),</i>	<i>Dunkin,</i>	<i>McMonies,</i>	<i>Walsh,</i>
<i>Carling,</i>	<i>Ferguson (Frontenac),</i>	<i>Morris,</i>	<i>Webb,</i>
<i>Cartier, Atty. Gen.,</i>	<i>Galt,</i>	<i>Pope,</i>	<i>Willson and</i>
<i>Cauchon,</i>	<i>Gaucher,</i>	<i>Poupore,</i>	<i>Wright (Ot'a Co.)—54.</i>
<i>Chapais,</i>	<i>Gibbs,</i>		

So it passed in the Negative.

And the Question being put on the amendment to the original Question, the House divided:—And it passed in the Negative.

Then, the main Question being put, That this House doth concur with the Committee in the 1st item of the said Resolution; the House divided:—And it was resolved in the Affirmative.

And the Question being put that this House doth concur with the Committee in the 3rd item of the said Resolution (towards the completion of the Reformatory Prison at *St. Vincent de Paul*); the House divided:—And it was resolved in the Affirmative.

And the Question being put, that this House doth concur with the Committee in the fifth Resolution; the House divided:—And it was resolved in the Affirmative.

The Sixth Resolution, being read a second time, was agreed to.

The Seventh Resolution, being read a second time; and the Question being separately put upon the 1st six items: the House divided:—and it was resolved in the Affirmative.

The Seventh Resolution was then agreed to.